

Missouri Department of dnr.mo.gov

NATURAL RESOURCES

Eric R. Greitens, Governor

Carol S. Comer, Director

RECEIVED

JUN 06 2017

JUN 5 2017

City of Macon
P.O. Box 569
Macon, MO 63552

Dear Permittee:

Pursuant to the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, under the authority granted to the State of Missouri and in compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, we have issued and are enclosing your State Operating Permit to discharge from Macon WWTP.

Please read your permit and attached Standard Conditions. They contain important information on monitoring requirements, effluent limitations, sampling frequencies and reporting requirements.

Monitoring reports required by the special conditions must be submitted on a periodic basis. Copies of the necessary report forms are enclosed and should be mailed to your regional office. Please contact that office for additional forms.

This permit may include requirements with which you may not be familiar. If you would like the department to meet with you to discuss how to satisfy the permit requirements, an appointment can be set up by contacting your local regional office at 660-385-8000. These visits are called Compliance Assistance Visits and focus on explaining the requirements to the permit holder.

This permit is both your Federal NPDES Permit and your new Missouri State Operating Permit and replaces all previous State Operating Permits issued for this facility under this permit number. In all future correspondence regarding this facility, please refer to your State Operating Permit number and facility name as shown on page one of the permit.

If you were adversely affected by this decision, you may be entitled to an appeal before the Administrative Hearing Commission (ACH) pursuant to 10 CSR 20-1.020 and Section 621.250, RSMo. To appeal, you must file a petition with the ACH within thirty days after the date this decision was mailed or the date it was delivered, whichever date was earlier. If any such petition is sent by registered mail or certified mail, it will be deemed filed on the date it is mailed; if it is sent by any method other than registered mail or certified mail, it will be deemed filed on the date it is received by the ACH. Contact information for the ACH is: Administrative Hearing Commission, United States Post Office Building, 3rd Floor, 131 West High Street, P.O. Box 1557, Jefferson City, MO 65102, Phone: 573-751-2422, Fax: 573-751-5018, and Website: www.oa.mo.gov/ahc.



Recycled paper


City of Macon
Page Two

Please be aware that this facility may also be subject to any applicable county or other local ordinances or restrictions.

If you have any questions concerning this permit, please do not hesitate to contact the Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Water Protection Program at P.O. Box 176, Jefferson City, MO 65102, 573-751-1300.

Sincerely,

WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "David J. Lamb".

David J. Lamb
Acting Director

DJL/vs

Enclosure

STATE OF MISSOURI
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION



MISSOURI STATE OPERATING PERMIT

In compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law. (Chapter 644 R.S. Mo. as amended, hereinafter, the Law), and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (Public Law 92-500, 92nd Congress) as amended.

Permit No. MO-0023221

Owner: City of Macon
Address: P.O. Box 569, Macon, MO 63552

Continuing Authority: Same as above
Address: Same as above

Facility Name: Macon WWTP
Facility Address: 32319 Vine Street, Macon, MO 63552

Legal Description: See Page 2
UTM Coordinates: See Page 2

Receiving Stream: See Page 2
First Classified Stream and ID: See Page 2
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: See Page 2

is authorized to discharge from the facility described herein, in accordance with the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements as set forth herein:

FACILITY DESCRIPTION

See Page 2

This permit authorizes only wastewater and stormwater discharges under the Missouri Clean Water Law and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System; it does not apply to other regulated areas. This permit may be appealed in accordance with Section 621.250 RSMo, Section 640.013 RSMo and Section 644.051.6 of the Law.

July 1, 2017
Effective Date


Edward B. Galbraith, Director, Division of Environmental Quality

June 30, 2022
Expiration Date


David J. Lamb, Acting Director, Water Protection Program

FACILITY DESCRIPTION (continued):

Outfall #001 – POTW – SIC #4952

The use or operation of this facility shall be by or under the supervision of a Certified “A” Operator.

Grit chamber / 2 comminutors / 2 bar screens / peak flow basin / 2 primary clarifiers / 2 trickling filters / 1 tower filter / 2 final settling basins / UV disinfection / sludge screw press / lime stabilization / 2 sludge storage pads (1 covered, 1 uncovered) / sludge is land applied

Design population equivalent is 46,800.

Design flow is 2.5 million gallons per day.

Actual flow is 1.4 million gallons per day.

Design sludge production is 1,310 dry tons/year.

Legal Description: NW ¼, NE ¼, NW ¼, Sec. 23, T57N, R14W, Macon County
UTM Coordinates: X=548087, Y=4398737
Receiving Stream: Sewer Creek (C)
First Classified Stream and ID: 8-20-13 MUDD V1.0 (C) (3960)
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: (07110006-0203)

Permitted Feature SM1 – Instream Monitoring

Instream monitoring location – Upstream – bridge over Sewer Creek on Lacquer Avenue – See Special Condition #19

Legal Description: NW ¼, NE ¼, NW ¼, Sec. 23, T57N, R14W, Macon County
UTM Coordinates: X=547964, Y=4398699

Permitted Feature SM2 – Instream Monitoring

Instream monitoring location – Downstream – in Sewer Creek, ~150 feet below discharge location – See Special Condition #19

Legal Description: NW ¼, NE ¼, NW ¼, Sec. 23, T57N, R14W, Macon County
UTM Coordinates: X=548131, Y=4398731

Permitted Feature W02 – Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) location
Peak Flow Basin

Legal Description: NW ¼, NE ¼, NW ¼, Sec. 23, T57N, R14W, Macon County
UTM Coordinates: X=548006, Y=4398716
Receiving Stream: Sewer Creek (C)
First Classified Stream and ID: 8-20-13 MUDD V1.0 (C) (3960)
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: (07110006-0203)

Permitted Feature W03 – Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) location
Grit Chamber

Legal Description: NW ¼, SW ¼, NW ¼, Sec. 22, T57N, R14W, Macon County
UTM Coordinates: X=546041, Y=4398342
Receiving Stream: Sewer Creek (C)
First Classified Stream and ID: 8-20-13 MUDD V1.0 (C) (3960)
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: (07110006-0203)

Permitted Feature W05 – Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) location
Highway 63

Legal Description: NE ¼, SE ¼, NE ¼, Sec. 21, T57N, R14W, Macon County
UTM Coordinates: X=545817, Y=4398361
Receiving Stream: Sewer Creek (C)
First Classified Stream and ID: 8-20-13 MUDD V1.0 (C) (3960)
USGS Basin & Sub-watershed No.: (07110006-0203)

OUTFALL #001	TABLE A-1. INTERIM EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	PAGE NUMBER 3 of 14
		PERMIT NUMBER MO-0023221

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The interim effluent limitations shall become effective on **July 1, 2017** and remain in effect through **June 30, 2022**. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	INTERIM EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Flow	MGD	*		*	once/day	24 hr. total
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅	mg/L		45	30	once/week	composite**
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L		45	30	once/week	composite**
<i>E. coli</i> (Note 1)	#/100mL		1030	206	once/week	grab
Ammonia as N (Apr 1 – Sep 30) (Oct 1 – Mar 31)	mg/L	4.5 11.4		1.6 2.9	once/week	grab
Oil & Grease	mg/L	15		10	once/month	grab

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED MONTHLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE AUGUST 28, 2017. THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.

EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	MINIMUM		MAXIMUM	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
pH – Units ***	SU	6.5		9.0	once/week	grab

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED MONTHLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE AUGUST 28, 2017.

EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	MONTHLY AVERAGE MINIMUM	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅ – Percent Removal (Note 2)	%	65	once/month	calculated
Total Suspended Solids – Percent Removal (Note 2)	%	65	once/month	calculated

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED MONTHLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE AUGUST 28, 2017.

- * Monitoring requirement only.
- ** A 24-hour composite sample is composed of 48 aliquots (subsamples) collected at 30 minute intervals by an automatic sampling device.
- *** pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged.

Note 1 - Effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for *E. coli* are applicable only during the recreational season from April 1 through October 31. The Monthly Average Limit for *E. coli* is expressed as a geometric mean. The Weekly Average for *E. coli* will be expressed as a geometric mean if more than one (1) sample is collected during a calendar week (Sunday through Saturday).

Note 2 – Influent samples are to be collected prior to any treatment process. Percent removal is calculated by the following formula: $[(\text{Influent} - \text{Effluent}) / \text{Influent}] \times 100\% = \text{Percent Removal}$. The Monthly Average Minimum Percent removal is to be reported as the average of all daily calculated removal efficiencies. Influent samples are to be collected as a 24-hour composite sample, composed of 48 aliquots (subsamples) collected at 30 minute intervals by an automatic sampling device.

OUTFALL #001	TABLE A-2. FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	PAGE NUMBER 4 of 14
		PERMIT NUMBER MO-0023221

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations shall become effective on **July 1, 2022** and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Flow	MGD	*		*	once/day	24 hr. total
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅	mg/L		45	30	once/week	composite**
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L		45	30	once/week	composite**
<i>E. coli</i> (Note 1)	#/100mL		1030	206	once/week	grab
Ammonia as N (Apr 1 – Sep 30) (Oct 1 – Mar 31)	mg/L	3.6 11.4		1.4 2.6	once/week	grab
Oil & Grease	mg/L	15		10	once/month	grab

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED MONTHLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE AUGUST 28, 2022. THERE SHALL BE NO DISCHARGE OF FLOATING SOLIDS OR VISIBLE FOAM IN OTHER THAN TRACE AMOUNTS.

EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	MINIMUM		MAXIMUM	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
pH – Units ***	SU	6.5		9.0	once/week	grab

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED MONTHLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE AUGUST 28, 2022.

EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	MONTHLY AVERAGE MINIMUM	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Biochemical Oxygen Demand ₅ – Percent Removal (Note 2)	%	65	once/month	calculated
Total Suspended Solids – Percent Removal (Note 2)	%	65	once/month	calculated

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED MONTHLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE AUGUST 28, 2022.

- * Monitoring requirement only.
- ** A 24-hour composite sample is composed of 48 aliquots (subsamples) collected at 30 minute intervals by an automatic sampling device.
- *** pH is measured in pH units and is not to be averaged.

Note 1 - Effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for *E. coli* are applicable only during the recreational season from April 1 through October 31. The Monthly Average Limit for *E. coli* is expressed as a geometric mean. The Weekly Average for *E. coli* will be expressed as a geometric mean if more than one (1) sample is collected during a calendar week (Sunday through Saturday).

Note 2 – Influent samples are to be collected prior to any treatment process. Percent removal is calculated by the following formula: $[(\text{Influent} - \text{Effluent}) / \text{Influent}] \times 100\% = \text{Percent Removal}$. The Monthly Average Minimum Percent removal is to be reported as the average of all daily calculated removal efficiencies. Influent samples are to be collected as a 24-hour composite sample, composed of 48 aliquots (subsamples) collected at 30 minute intervals by an automatic sampling device.

OUTFALL #001	TABLE A-3. FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	PAGE NUMBER 5 of 14
		PERMIT NUMBER MO-0023221

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The interim effluent limitations shall become effective on **July 1, 2017** and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter****	grab
Total Nitrogen	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter****	grab
Aluminum, Total Recoverable	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter****	grab
Antimony, Total Recoverable	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter****	grab
Arsenic, Total Recoverable	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter****	grab
Beryllium, Total Recoverable	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter****	grab
Cadmium, Total Recoverable	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter****	grab
Copper, Total Recoverable	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter****	grab
Cyanide, Amenable to Chlorination	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter****	grab
Iron, Total Recoverable	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter****	grab
Lead, Total Recoverable	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter****	grab
Mercury, Total Recoverable	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter****	grab
Nickel, Total Recoverable	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter****	grab
Selenium, Total Recoverable	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter****	grab
Silver, Total Recoverable	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter****	grab
Thallium, Total Recoverable	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter****	grab
Zinc, Total Recoverable	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter****	grab
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	µg/L	*		*	once/quarter****	grab

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED QUARTERLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE OCTOBER 28, 2017.

* Monitoring requirement only.

**** See table below for quarterly sampling requirements.

Minimum Sampling Requirements			
Quarter	Months	Effluent Parameters	Report is Due
First	January, February, March	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	April 28 th
Second	April, May, June	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	July 28 th
Third	July, August, September	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	October 28 th
Fourth	October, November, December	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	January 28 th

OUTFALL #001	TABLE A-4. WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	PAGE NUMBER 6 of 14
		PERMIT NUMBER MO-0023221

The permittee is authorized to discharge from outfall(s) with serial number(s) as specified in the application for this permit. The final effluent limitations shall become effective on **July 1, 2017** and remain in effect until expiration of the permit. Such discharges shall be controlled, limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

EFFLUENT PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	FINAL EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS			MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		DAILY MAXIMUM	WEEKLY AVERAGE	MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity (Note 3)	TU _a	*			once/year	composite**

MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED ANNUALLY; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE JANUARY 28, 2018.

Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity (Note 4)	TU _c	*			once/permit cycle	composite**
--	-----------------	---	--	--	-------------------	-------------

WET TEST REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED ONCE PER PERMIT CYCLE; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE JANUARY 28, 2022.

* Monitoring requirement only.

** A 24-hour composite sample is composed of 48 aliquots (subsamples) collected at 30 minute intervals by an automatic sampling device.

Note 3 – The Acute WET test shall be conducted once per year during the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 5th year of the permit cycle. See Special Condition #20 for additional requirements.

Note 4 –The Chronic WET test shall be conducted during the 4th year of the permit cycle. See Special Condition #21 for additional requirements.

PERMITTED FEATURE SM1	TABLE B-1. INSTREAM MONITORING REQUIREMENTS				PAGE NUMBER 7 of 14	
					PERMIT NUMBER MO-0023221	
The monitoring requirements shall become effective on July 1, 2017 and remain in effect until expiration of the permit.						
PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	MONITORING REQUIREMENTS				
		DAILY MAXIMUM		MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter****	grab
Total Nitrogen	mg/L	*		*	once/quarter****	grab
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>QUARTERLY</u> ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>OCTOBER 28, 2017</u> .						

* Monitoring requirement only.

**** See table below for quarterly sampling

Minimum Sampling Requirements			
Quarter	Months	Total Nitrogen & Total Phosphorus	Report is Due
First	January, February, March	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	April 28 th
Second	April, May, June	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	July 28 th
Third	July, August, September	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	October 28 th
Fourth	October, November, December	Sample at least once during any month of the quarter	January 28 th

PERMITTED FEATURE SM2	TABLE B-2. INSTREAM MONITORING REQUIREMENTS					
	The monitoring requirements shall become effective on July 1, 2017 and remain in effect until expiration of the permit.					
PARAMETER(S)	UNITS	MONITORING REQUIREMENTS				
		DAILY MAXIMUM		MONTHLY AVERAGE	MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY	SAMPLE TYPE
Hardness, Total	mg/L	*		*	once/month	grab
MONITORING REPORTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED <u>MONTHLY</u> ; THE FIRST REPORT IS DUE <u>AUGUST 28, 2017</u> .						

* Monitoring requirement only.

C. STANDARD CONDITIONS

In addition to specified conditions stated herein, this permit is subject to the attached Parts I, II, & III standard conditions dated August 1, 2014, May 1, 2013, and March 1, 2015, and hereby incorporated as though fully set forth herein.

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. This permit may be reopened and modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued, to:
 - (a) Comply with any applicable effluent standard or limitation issued or approved under Sections 301(b)(2)(C) and (D), 304(b)(2), and 307(a) (2) of the Clean Water Act, if the effluent standard or limitation so issued or approved:
 - (1) contains different conditions or is otherwise more stringent than any effluent limitation in the permit; or
 - (2) controls any pollutant not limited in the permit.
 - (b) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions, if the result of a waste load allocation study, toxicity test including acute and chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) tests, or other information indicates changes are necessary to assure compliance with Missouri's Water Quality Standards.

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

- (c) Incorporate new or modified effluent limitations or other conditions if, as the result of a watershed analysis, a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) limitation is developed for the receiving waters which are currently included in Missouri's list of waters of the state not fully achieving the state's water quality standards, also called the 303(d) list.
- (d) Incorporate the requirement to develop a pretreatment program pursuant to 40 CFR 403.8(a) when the Director of the Water Protection Program determines that a pretreatment program is necessary due to any new introduction of pollutants into the Publically Owned Treatment Works or any substantial change in the volume or character of pollutants being introduced. The permit as modified or reissued under this paragraph shall also contain any other requirements of the Clean Water Act then applicable.

- 2. All outfalls must be clearly marked in the field. This does not include instream monitoring locations.
- 3. Permittee will cease discharge by connection to a facility with an area-wide management plan per 10 CSR 20-6.010(3)(B) within 90 days of notice of its availability.
- 4. Report as no-discharge when a discharge does not occur during the report period. For instream samples, report as "no flow" if no stream flow occurs during the report period.
- 5. Changes in existing pollutants or the addition of new pollutants to the treatment facility

The permittee must provide adequate notice to the Director of the following:

- (a) Any new introduction of pollutants into the POTW from an indirect discharger which would be subject to section 301 or 306 of CWA if it were directly discharging those pollutants; and
 - (b) Any substantial change in the volume or character of pollutants being introduced into that POTW by a source introducing pollutants into the POTW at the time of issuance of the permit.
 - (c) For purposes of this paragraph, adequate notice shall include information on;
 - (1) the quality and quantity of effluent introduced into the POTW, and
 - (2) any anticipated impact of the change on the quantity or quality of effluent to be discharged from the POTW.
- 6. Reporting of Non-Detects:
 - (a) An analysis conducted by the permittee or their contracted laboratory shall be conducted in such a way that the precision and accuracy of the analyzed result can be enumerated.
 - (b) The permittee shall not report a sample result as "Non-Detect" without also reporting the detection limit of the test. Reporting as "Non Detect" without also including the detection limit will be considered failure to report, which is a violation of this permit.
 - (c) The permittee shall provide the "Non-Detect" sample result using the less than sign and the minimum detection limit (e.g. <10).
 - (d) Where the permit contains a Minimum Level (ML) and the permittee is granted authority in the permit to report zero in lieu of the < ML for a specified parameter (conventional, priority pollutants, metals, etc.), then zero (0) is to be reported for that parameter.
 - (e) See Standard Conditions Part I, Section A, #4 regarding proper detection limits used for sample analysis.
 - (f) When calculating monthly averages, one-half of the method detection limit (MDL) should be used instead of a zero. Where all data are below the MDL, the "<MDL" shall be reported as indicated in item (c).
 - 7. It is a violation of the Missouri Clean Water Law to fail to pay fees associated with this permit (644.055 RSMo).
 - 8. The permittee shall comply with any applicable requirements listed in 10 CSR 20-9, unless the facility has received written notification that the Department has approved a modification to the requirements. The monitoring frequencies contained in this permit shall not be construed by the permittee as a modification of the monitoring frequencies listed in 10 CSR 20-9. If a modification of the monitoring frequencies listed in 10 CSR 20-9 is needed, the permittee shall submit a written request to the Department for review and, if deemed necessary, approval.

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

9. The permittee shall develop and implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system. The recommended guidance is the US EPA's Guide For Evaluating Capacity, Management, Operation, And Maintenance (CMOM) Programs At Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems (Document number EPA 305-B-05-002) or the Departments' CMOM Model located at <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/permits/docs/cmom-template.doc>. For additional information regarding the Departments' CMOM Model, see the CMOM Plan Model Guidance document at <http://dnr.mo.gov/pubs/pub2574.htm>.

The permittee shall also submit a report to the Northeast Regional Office annually, by September 28th, for the previous calendar year. The report shall contain the following information:

- (a) A summary of the efforts to locate and eliminate sources of excessive infiltration and inflow into the collection system serving the facility for the previous year.
 - (b) A summary of the general maintenance and repairs to the collection system serving the facility for the previous year.
 - (c) A summary of any planned maintenance and repairs to the collection system serving the facility for the upcoming calendar year. This list shall include locations (GPS, 911 address, manhole number, etc.) and actions to be taken.
10. Bypasses are not authorized at this facility unless they meet the criteria in 40 CFR 122.41(m). If a bypass occurs, the permittee shall report in accordance to 40 CFR 122.41(m)(3), and with Standard Condition Part I, Section B, subsection 2.b. Bypasses are to be reported to the Northeast Regional Office or by using the online Sanitary Sewer Overflow/Facility Bypass Application, located at: <http://dnr.mo.gov/modnrca/> during normal business hours or the Environmental Emergency Response hotline at 573-634-2436 outside of normal business hours. Once an electronic reporting system compliant with 40 CFR Part 127, the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Electronic Reporting Rule, is available all bypasses must be reported electronically via the new system. Blending, which is the practice of combining a partially-treated wastewater process stream with a fully-treated wastewater process stream prior to discharge, is not considered a form of bypass. If the permittee wishes to utilize blending, the permittee shall file an application to modify this permit to facilitate the inclusion of appropriate monitoring conditions.
11. The facility must be sufficiently secured to restrict entry by children, livestock and unauthorized persons as well as to protect the facility from vandalism.
12. At least one gate must be provided to access the wastewater treatment facility and provide for maintenance and mowing. The gate shall remain closed except when temporarily opened by; the permittee to access the facility, perform operational monitoring, sampling, maintenance, mowing, or for inspections by the Department. The gate shall be closed and locked when the facility is not staffed.
13. An Operation and Maintenance (O & M) manual shall be maintained by the permittee and made available to the operator. The O & M manual shall include key operating procedures and a brief summary of the operation of the facility.
14. At least one (1) warning sign shall be placed on each side of the facility enclosure in such positions as to be clearly visible from all directions of approach. There shall also be one (1) sign placed for every five hundred feet (500') (150 m) of the perimeter fence. A sign shall also be placed on each gate. Minimum wording shall be SEWAGE TREATMENT FACILITY—KEEP OUT. Signs shall be made of durable materials with characters at least two inches (2") high and shall be securely fastened to the fence, equipment or other suitable locations.
15. An all-weather access road shall be provided to the treatment facility.
16. The discharge from the wastewater treatment facility shall be conveyed to the receiving stream via a closed pipe or a paved or rip-rapped open channel. Sheet or meandering drainage is not acceptable. The outfall sewer shall be protected against the effects of floodwater, ice or other hazards as to reasonably insure its structural stability and freedom from stoppage. The outfall shall be maintained so that a sample of the effluent can be obtained at a point after the final treatment process and before the discharge mixes with the receiving waters.
17. Land application of biosolids shall be conducted in accordance with Standard Conditions III and a Department approved biosolids management plan. Land application of biosolids during frozen, snow covered, or saturated soil conditions in accordance with the additional requirements specified in WQ426 shall occur only with prior approval from the Department.
18. The media in the filter beds shall be properly maintained to prevent surface pooling, vegetative growth, and accumulation of leaf litter.

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

19. Receiving Water Monitoring Conditions
- (a) In-stream receiving water samples should be taken at the location(s) specified on Page 2 of this permit. In the event that a safe, accessible location is not present at the location(s) listed, a suitable location can be negotiated with the Department. Samples should be taken at least four feet from the bank or from the middle of the stream (whichever is less) and 6-inches below the surface. The upstream receiving water sample should be collected at a point upstream from any influence of the effluent, where the water is visibly flowing down stream.
 - (b) When conducting in-stream monitoring, the permittee shall record observations that include: the time of day, weather conditions, unusual stream characteristics (e.g., septic conditions, algae growth, etc.), the stream segment (e.g., riffle, pool or run) from where the sample was collected. These observations shall be submitted with the sample results.
 - (c) Samples shall not be collected from areas with especially turbulent flow, still water or from the stream bank, unless these conditions are representative of the stream reach or no other areas are available for sample collection. Sampling should not be made when significant precipitation has occurred recently. The sampling event should be terminated and rescheduled if any of the following conditions occur:
 - If turbidity in the stream increases notably; or
 - If rainfall over the past two weeks exceeds 2.5 inches or exceeds 1 inch in the last 24 hours
 - (d) Always use the correct sampling technique and handling procedure specified for the parameter of interest. Please refer to the latest edition of Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater for further discussion of proper sampling techniques. All analyses must be conducted in accordance with an approved EPA method. Meters shall be calibrated immediately (within 1 hour) prior to the sampling event.
 - (e) Please contact the Department if you need additional instructions or assistance.
20. Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) tests shall be conducted as follows:
- (a) Freshwater Species and Test Methods: Species and short-term test methods for estimating the acute toxicity of NPDES effluents are found in the most recent edition of *Methods for Measuring the Acute Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms* (EPA/821/R-02/012; Table IA, 40 CFR Part 136). The permittee shall concurrently conduct 48-hour, static, non-renewal toxicity tests with the following species:
 - The fathead minnow, *Pimephales promelas* (Acute Toxicity EPA Test Method 2000.0).
 - The daphnid, *Ceriodaphnia dubia* (Acute Toxicity EPA Test Method 2002.0).
 - (b) Chemical and physical analysis of the upstream control sample and effluent sample shall occur immediately upon being received by the laboratory, prior to any manipulation of the effluent sample beyond preservation methods consistent with federal guidelines for WET testing that are required to stabilize the sample during shipping. Where upstream receiving water is not available or known to be toxic, other approved control water may be used.
 - (c) Test conditions must meet all test acceptability criteria required by the EPA Method used in the analysis.
 - (d) The Allowable Effluent Concentration (AEC) for this facility is 100% with the dilution series being: 100%, 50%, 25%, 12.5%, and 6.25%.
 - (e) All chemical and physical analysis of the effluent sample performed in conjunction with the WET test shall be performed at the 100% effluent concentration.
 - (f) All chemical analyses shall be performed and results shall be recorded in the appropriate field of the report form. The parameters for chemical analysis include Temperature (°F), pH (SU), Conductivity (µmohs/cm), Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L), Total Residual Chlorine (mg/L), Un-ionized Ammonia (mg/L), Total Alkalinity (mg/L), and Total Hardness (mg/L).
 - (g) The facility must submit a full laboratory report for all toxicity testing. The report must include a quantification of acute toxic units ($TU_a = 100/LC_{50}$) reported according to the test methods manual chapter on report preparation and test review. The Lethal Concentration 50 Percent (LC_{50}) is the effluent concentration that would cause death in 50 percent of the test organisms at a specific time.

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

21. Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) tests shall be conducted as follows:
- (a) Freshwater Species and Test Methods: Species and short-term test methods for estimating the chronic toxicity of NPDES effluents are found in the most recent edition of *Short-term Methods for Estimating the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater Organisms* (EPA/821/R-02/013; Table IA, 40 CFR Part 136). The permittee shall concurrently conduct 7-day, static, renewal toxicity tests with the following species:
 - o The fathead minnow, *Pimephales promelas* (Survival and Growth Test Method 1000.0).
 - o The daphnid, *Ceriodaphnia dubia* (Survival and Reproduction Test Method 1002.0).
 - (b) Chemical and physical analysis of the upstream control sample and effluent sample shall occur immediately upon being received by the laboratory, prior to any manipulation of the effluent sample beyond preservation methods consistent with federal guidelines for WET testing that are required to stabilize the sample during shipping. Where upstream receiving water is not available or known to be toxic, other approved control water may be used.
 - (c) Test conditions must meet all test acceptability criteria required by the EPA Method used in the analysis.
 - (d) The Allowable Effluent Concentration (AEC) is 100%, the dilution series is: 100%, 50%, 25%, 12.5%, and 6.25%.
 - (e) All chemical and physical analysis of the effluent sample performed in conjunction with the WET test shall be performed at the 100% effluent concentration.
 - (f) All chemical analyses shall be performed and results shall be recorded in the appropriate field of the report form. The parameters for chemical analysis include Temperature (°F), pH (SU), Conductivity (µmohs/cm), Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L), Total Residual Chlorine (mg/L), Un-ionized Ammonia (mg/L), Total Alkalinity (mg/L), and Total Hardness (mg/L).
 - (g) The facility must submit a full laboratory report for all toxicity testing. The report must include a quantification of chronic toxic units ($TU_c = 100/IC_{25}$) reported according to the *Methods for Measuring the Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Waters to Freshwater and Marine Organisms* chapter on report preparation and test review. The 25 percent Inhibition Effect Concentration (IC_{25}) is the toxic or effluent concentration that would cause 25 percent reduction in mean young per female or in growth for the test populations.
22. Combined Sewer Overflows
- (a) The permittee is authorized to discharge from the CSO overflow locations listed on Page 2 of this permit and additional CSO overflow locations within the boundaries of the permittee's jurisdiction identified after the effective date of this permit, in accordance with the requirements of Section (b) below, and other pertinent provisions of this permit.
 - (b) "Nine Minimum Controls" Technology-based Requirements.
 - (1) The permittee shall document implementation its Nine Minimum Controls, and shall retain these records in accordance with the State and Local Records Law as codified in Section 109.200 RSMo. et seq., and standards and regulations promulgated pursuant thereto. The permittee shall continue its compliance with the following technology-based requirements:
 - Control 1 – Proper Operation and Maintenance Programs;
 - Control 2 – Maximum Use of the Collection System for Storage;
 - Control 3 – Review and Modification of Pretreatment Requirements;
 - Control 4 – Maximization of Flow to the POTW for Treatment;
 - Control 5 – Dry Weather Flows from CSO's are prohibited;
 - Control 6 – Control of Solid and Floatable Materials in CSO's;
 - Control 7 – Pollution Prevention;
 - Control 8 – Public Notification;
 - Control 9 – Monitoring to Effectively Characterize CSO Impacts and the Efficacy of CSO Controls.
 - (2) The permittee shall submit an annual report via the Electronic Discharge Monitoring Report (eDMR) Submission System to document implementation of the nine minimum controls by September 1 of each year.
 - (c) Long Term Control Plan
 - (1) The Department acknowledges the Long Term Control Plan (LTCP) was submitted on June 13, 2008 and was approved by the Department on January 20, 2009.
 - (2) The permittee shall implement the LTCP according to the schedule in the approved LTCP.
 - (3) The permittee shall submit an annual report via the Electronic Discharge Monitoring Report (eDMR) Submission System on the progress on the approved LTCP projects by September 1 of each year. This report may be attached to the nine minimum controls report in paragraph (b)(1), above.

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

23. Electronic Discharge Monitoring Report (eDMR) Submission System.
- (a) Discharge Monitoring Reporting Requirements. The permittee must electronically submit compliance monitoring data via the eDMR system. In regards to Standard Conditions Part I, Section B, #7, the eDMR system is currently the only Department approved reporting method for this permit.
 - (b) Programmatic Reporting Requirements. The following reports (if required by this permit) must be electronically submitted as an attachment to the eDMR system until such a time when the current or a new system is available to allow direct input of the data:
 - (1) Collection System Maintenance Annual Reports;
 - (2) Schedule of Compliance Progress Reports;
 - (3) Sludge/Biosolids Annual Reports;
 - i. In addition to the annual Sludge/Biosolids report submitted to the department, the permittee must submit Sludge/Biosolids Annual Reports electronically using EPA's NPDES Electronic Reporting Tool ("NeT")
 - (4) Pretreatment Program Reports; and
 - (5) Any additional report required by the permit excluding bypass reporting.After such a system has been made available by the department, required data shall be directly input into the system by the next report due date.
 - (c) Other actions. The following shall be submitted electronically after such a system has been made available by the department:
 - (1) Notices of Intent to discharge (NOIs);
 - (2) Notices of Termination (NOTs);
 - (3) No Exposure Certifications (NOEs);
 - (4) Low Erosivity Waivers and Other Waivers from Stormwater Controls (LEWs); and
 - (5) Bypass reporting, See Special Condition #10 for 24-hr. bypass reporting requirements.
 - (d) Electronic Submissions. To access the eDMR system, use the following link in your web browser: <https://edmr.dnr.mo.gov/edmr/E2/Shared/Pages/Main/Login.aspx>.
 - (e) Waivers from Electronic Reporting. The permittee must electronically submit compliance monitoring data and reports unless a waiver is granted by the department in compliance with 40 CFR Part 127. The permittee may obtain an electronic reporting waiver by first submitting an eDMR Waiver Request Form: <http://dnr.mo.gov/forms/780-2692-f.pdf>. The department will either approve or deny this electronic reporting waiver request within 120 calendar days. Only permittees with an approved waiver request may submit monitoring data and reports on paper to the Department for the period that the approved electronic reporting waiver is effective.
24. The permittee shall implement and enforce its approved pretreatment program in accordance with the requirements of 10 CSR 20-6.100. The approved pretreatment program is hereby incorporated by reference.
- (a) The permittee shall submit to the Department via the Electronic Discharge Monitoring Report (eDMR) Submission System on or before March 31st of each year a report briefly describing its pretreatment activities during the previous calendar year. At a minimum, the report shall include the following:
 - (1) An updated list of the Permittee's Industrial Users, including their names and addresses, or a list of deletions and additions keyed to a previously submitted list. The Permittee shall provide a brief explanation of each deletion. This list shall identify which Industrial Users are subject to categorical pretreatment Standards and specify which Standards are applicable to each Industrial User. The list shall indicate which Industrial Users are subject to local standards that are more stringent than the categorical Pretreatment Standards. The Permittee shall also list the Industrial Users that are subject only to local Requirements;
 - (2) A summary of the status of Industrial User compliance over the reporting period;
 - (3) A summary of compliance and enforcement activities (including inspections) conducted by the Permittee during the reporting period; and
 - (4) Any other relevant information requested by the Department.
 - (b) Pursuant to 40 CFR 122.44(j)(2)(ii), the permittee shall submit to the Department a written technical evaluation of the need to revise local limits under 40 CFR 403.5(c)(1) by **December 28, 2017**.

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

25. Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP): A SWPPP must be developed and implemented within 180 days of the effective date of the permit. Through implementation of the SWPPP, the permittee shall minimize the release of pollutants in stormwater from the facility to the waters of the state. The SWPPP shall be developed in consultation with the concepts and methods described in the following document: Developing Your Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, A Guide for Industrial Operators, (Document number EPA 833-B-09-002) published by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) in February 2009.
- (a) The SWPPP must identify any stormwater outfall from the facility and Best Management Practices (BMPs) used to prevent or reduce the discharge of contaminants in stormwater. The stormwater outfalls shall either be marked in the field or clearly marked on a map and maintained with the SWPPP.
 - (b) The SWPPP must include a schedule and procedures for a once per month routine site inspection.
 - i. The monthly routine inspection shall be documented in a brief written report, which shall include:
 - i. The person(s) conducting the inspection.
 - ii. The inspection date and time.
 - iii. Weather information for the day of the inspection.
 - iv. Precipitation information for the entire period since the last inspection.
 - v. Description of the discharges observed, including visual quality of the discharges (sheen, turbid, etc.).
 - vi. Condition of BMPs
 - vii. If BMPs were replaced or repaired.
 - viii. Observations and evaluations of BMP effectiveness.
 - ii. Any deficiency observed during the routine inspection must be corrected within seven (7) days and the actions taken to correct the deficiencies shall be included with the written report.
 - iii. The routine inspection reports must be kept onsite with the SWPPP and maintained for a period of five (5) years.
 - iv. The routine inspection reports shall be made available to Department personnel upon request.
 - (c) The SWPPP must include a schedule and procedures for a once per year comprehensive site inspection.
 - (1) The annual comprehensive inspection shall be documented in a written report, which shall include:
 - i. The person(s) conducting the inspection.
 - ii. The inspection date and time.
 - iii. Findings from the areas of your facility that were examined;
 - iv. All observations relating to the implementation of your control measures including:
 - 1. Previously unidentified discharges from the site,
 - 2. Previously unidentified pollutants in existing discharges,
 - 3. Evidence of, or the potential for, pollutants entering the drainage system;
 - 4. Evidence of pollutants discharging to receiving waters at all facility outfall(s), and the condition of and around the outfall, and
 - 5. Additional control measures needed to address any conditions requiring corrective action identified during the inspection.
 - v. Any required revisions to the SWPPP resulting from the inspection;
 - vi. Any incidence of noncompliance observed or a certification stating that the facility is in compliance with Special Condition E. 27.
 - (2) Any deficiency observed during the comprehensive inspection must be corrected within seven (7) days and the actions taken to correct the deficiencies shall be included with the written report.
 - (3) The comprehensive inspection reports must be kept onsite with the SWPPP and maintained for a period of five (5) years.
 - (4) The comprehensive inspection reports shall be made available to Department personnel upon request.
 - (d) The SWPPP must be kept on-site and should not be sent to the Department unless specifically requested.
 - (e) The SWPPP must be reviewed and updated at a minimum once per permit cycle, as site conditions or control measures change.

D. SPECIAL CONDITIONS (continued)

26. The permittee shall select, install, use, operate, and maintain the Best Management Practices prescribed in the SWPPP.
- (b) Permittee shall adhere to the following minimum Best Management Practices (BMPs):
- (1) Minimize the exposure of industrial material storage areas, loading and unloading areas, dumpsters and other disposal areas, maintenance activities, and fueling operations to rain, snow, snowmelt, and runoff, by locating industrial materials and activities inside or protecting them with storm resistant coverings, if warranted and practicable.
 - (2) Provide good housekeeping practices on the site to prevent potential pollution sources from coming into contact with stormwater and provide collection facilities and arrange for proper disposal of waste products, including sludge.
 - (3) Implement a maintenance program to ensure that the structural control measures and industrial equipment is kept in good operating condition and to prevent or minimize leaks and other releases of pollutants.
 - (4) Prevent or minimize the spillage or leaks of fluids, oil, grease, fuel, etc. from equipment and vehicle maintenance, equipment and vehicle cleaning, or activities.
 - (5) Provide sediment and erosion control sufficient to prevent or control sediment loss off of the property. This could include the use of straw bales, silt fences, or sediment basins, if needed.
 - (6) Provide stormwater runoff controls to divert, infiltrate, reuse, contain, or otherwise minimize pollutants in the stormwater discharge.
 - (7) Enclose or cover storage piles of salt or piles containing salt, used for deicing or other commercial or industrial purposes.
 - (8) Provide training to all employees who; work in areas where industrial materials or activities are exposed to stormwater, are responsible for stormwater inspections, are members of the Pollution Prevention Team. Training must cover the specific control measures and monitoring, inspection, planning, reporting and documentation requirements of this permit. Training is recommended annually for any applicable staff and whenever a new employee is hired who meets the description above.
 - (9) Eliminate and prevent unauthorized non-stormwater discharges at the facility.
 - (10) Minimize generation of dust and off-site tracking of raw, final, or waste materials by implementing appropriate control measures.

E. SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE

The facility shall attain compliance with final effluent limitations as soon as reasonably achievable or no later than **five (5) years** of the effective date of this permit. The existing facility employs technology that has been capable of meeting the proposed final effluent limitations for ammonia, but discharge monitoring reports indicate the facility has not always been in compliance with the proposed limitations. Therefore this permit includes a five (5) year schedule of compliance to allow the facility time to make operational changes during the different seasons, especially during the winter months, so that consistent compliance with final effluent limitations for ammonia is attained.

1. The permittee shall submit an interim progress report detailing progress made in attaining compliance with the final effluent limits every 12 months from the effective date of this permit.
2. Within **five (5) years** of the effective date of this permit, the permittee shall attain compliance with the final effluent limits.

MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
FACT SHEET
FOR THE PURPOSE OF RENEWAL
OF
MO-0023221
MACON WWTP

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("Clean Water Act" Section 402 Public Law 92-500 as amended) established the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program. This program regulates the discharge of pollutants from point sources into the waters of the United States, and the release of stormwater from certain point sources. All such discharges are unlawful without a permit (Section 301 of the "Clean Water Act"). After a permit is obtained, a discharge not in compliance with all permit terms and conditions is unlawful. Missouri State Operating Permits (MSOPs) are issued by the Director of the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (Department) under an approved program, operating in accordance with federal and state laws (Federal "Clean Water Act" and "Missouri Clean Water Law" Section 644 as amended). MSOPs are issued for a period of five (5) years unless otherwise specified.

As per [40 CFR Part 124.8(a)] and [10 CSR 20-6.020(1)2.] a Factsheet shall be prepared to give pertinent information regarding the applicable regulations, rationale for the development of effluent limitations and conditions, and the public participation process for the Missouri State Operating Permit (operating permit) listed below.

A Factsheet is not an enforceable part of an operating permit.

This Factsheet is for a Major

Part I – Facility Information

Facility Type: POTW - SIC #4952

Facility Description:

Grit chamber / 2 comminutors / 2 bar screens / peak flow basin / 2 primary clarifiers / 2 trickling filters / 1 tower filter / 2 final settling basins / UV disinfection / sludge screw press / lime stabilization / 2 sludge storage pads (1 covered, 1 uncovered) / sludge is land applied

Have any changes occurred at this facility or in the receiving water body that effects effluent limit derivation?

- No.

Application Date: 10/05/2015

Expiration Date: 04/03/2016

OUTFALL(S) TABLE:

OUTFALL	DESIGN FLOW (CFS)	TREATMENT LEVEL	EFFLUENT TYPE
#001	3.875	Equivalent to Secondary	Domestic

Facility Performance History:

The facility failed to meet the final effluent limitations for Ammonia and Biochemical Oxygen Demand on the February 2016 Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR). The facility failed to meet the final effluent limitations for Ammonia and Total Suspended Solids on the March 2016 DMR. The facility failed to meet final effluent limitations for Ammonia on the March 2015 DMR. This facility was last inspected on March 17, 2014. The inspection showed the following unsatisfactory feature; failure to collect samples after final treatment during the recreational season in order to have a representative sample. The City submitted the required documentation to the department on May 27, 2015 and the department sent the City a letter returning the WWTP to compliance on June 4, 2015.

Comments:

Changes in this permit include the addition of Total Phosphorus and Total Nitrogen for effluent and instream, Total Recoverable Aluminum, Total Recoverable Antimony, Total Recoverable Arsenic, Total Recoverable Beryllium, Total Recoverable Cadmium, Total Recoverable Copper, Cyanide, Total Recoverable Iron, Total Recoverable Lead, Total Recoverable Mercury, Total Recoverable Nickel, Total Recoverable Selenium, Total Recoverable Silver, Total Recoverable Thallium, Total Recoverable Zinc, Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate, Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) test, instream Total Hardness, and the removal of Temperature. See Part VI of the Fact Sheet for further information regarding the addition and removal of effluent parameters. Special conditions were updated to include the addition of inflow and infiltration reporting requirements, reporting of Non-detects, bypass reporting requirements, pretreatment requirements, Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, and the addition of instream monitoring requirements.

Part II – Operator Certification Requirements

- This facility is required to have a certified operator.

As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(8) Terms and Conditions of a Permit], the permittee shall operate and maintain facilities to comply with the Missouri Clean Water Law and applicable permit conditions and regulations. Operators or supervisors of operations at regulated wastewater treatment facilities shall be certified in accordance with [10 CSR 20-9.020(2)] and any other applicable state law or regulation. As per [10 CSR 20-9.020(2)(A)], requirements for operation by certified personnel shall apply to all wastewater treatment systems, if applicable, as listed below:

Owned or operated by or for a

- Municipalities

- Federal agency

- County

- Public Sewer District

- State agency

- Private Sewer Company regulated by the Public Service Commission

- Public Water Supply Districts

Each of the above entities are only applicable if they have a Population Equivalent greater than two hundred (200) or fifty (50) or more service connections.

This facility currently requires an A Certification Level. Please see **Appendix - Classification Worksheet**. Modifications made to the wastewater treatment facility may cause the classification to be modified.

Operator's Name: Ronny L. Smith
 Certification Number: 5767
 Certification Level: A

The listing of the operator above only signifies that staff drafting this operating permit have reviewed appropriate Department records and determined that the name listed on the operating permit application has the correct and applicable Certification Level.

- This facility is not required to have a certified operator.

Part III– Operational Monitoring

- As per [10 CSR 20-9.010(4)], the facility is not required to conduct operational monitoring.

- As per [10 CSR 20-9.010(4)], the facility is required to conduct operational monitoring.

Part IV – Receiving Stream Information

RECEIVING STREAM(S) TABLE: OUTFALL #001

WATER-BODY NAME	CLASS	WBID	DESIGNATED USES*	12-DIGIT HUC	DISTANCE TO CLASSIFIED SEGMENT (MI)
Sewer Creek (8-20-13 MUDD V1.0)	C	3960	AQL, WBC-B, SCR, HHP, IRR, LWW	07110006- 0203	0

*As per 10 CSR 20-7.031 Missouri Water Quality Standards, the department defines the Clean Water Commission's water quality objectives in terms of "water uses to be maintained and the criteria to protect those uses." The receiving stream and 1st classified receiving stream's beneficial water uses to be maintained are in the receiving stream table in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)].

Uses which may be found in the receiving streams table, above:

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)1.:

AQL = Protection of aquatic life (Current narrative use(s) are defined to ensure the protection and propagation of fish shellfish and wildlife, which is further subcategorized as: **WWH** = Warm Water Habitat; **CDF** = Cold-water fishery (Current narrative use is cold-water habitat.); **CLF** = Cool-water fishery (Current narrative use is cool-water habitat); **EAH** = Ephemeral Aquatic Habitat; **MAH** = Modified Aquatic Habitat; **LAH** = Limited Aquatic Habitat. This permit uses AQL effluent limitations in 10 CSR 20-7.031 Table A for all habitat designations unless otherwise specified.)

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)2.: Recreation in and on the water

WBC = Whole Body Contact recreation where the entire body is capable of being submerged;

WBC-A = Whole body contact recreation that supports swimming uses and has public access;

WBC-B = Whole body contact recreation that supports swimming;

SCR = Secondary Contact Recreation (like fishing, wading, and boating).

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)3. to 7.:

HHP (formerly HHF) = Human Health Protection as it relates to the consumption of fish;

IRR = Irrigation for use on crops utilized for human or livestock consumption;

LWW = Livestock and wildlife watering (Current narrative use is defined as LWP = Livestock and Wildlife Protection);

DWS = Drinking Water Supply;

IND = Industrial water supply

10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(C)8-11.: Wetlands (10 CSR 20-7.031 Table A currently does not have corresponding habitat use criteria for these defined uses)

WSA = Storm- and flood-water storage and attenuation; **WHP** = Habitat for resident and migratory wildlife species;

WRC = Recreational, cultural, educational, scientific, and natural aesthetic values and uses; **WHC** = Hydrologic cycle maintenance.

10 CSR 20-7.031(6): **GRW** = Groundwater

RECEIVING STREAM(S) LOW-FLOW VALUES:

RECEIVING STREAM (C, E, P, P1)	LOW-FLOW VALUES (CFS)		
	1Q10	7Q10	30Q10
Sewer Creek (8-20-13 MUDD V1.0) (C)	0	0	0

MIXING CONSIDERATIONS

MIXING CONSIDERATIONS TABLE:

MIXING ZONE (CFS) [10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(A)4.B.(I)(a)]			ZONE OF INITIAL DILUTION (CFS) [10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(A)4.B.(I)(b)]		
1Q10	7Q10	30Q10	1Q10	7Q10	30Q10
0	0	0	0	0	N/A

RECEIVING STREAM MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:

Facilities with a design flow greater than 100,000 gallons per day are required to sample their effluent quarterly for Total Phosphorus and Total Nitrogen per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(D)7. Upstream monitoring for these parameters is necessary to determine background concentrations in order to complete calculations related to future effluent limit derivation where necessary or appropriate. Downstream monitoring for Total Hardness is required as there are hardness dependent metals in the permit.

Permitted Feature SM1. (Upstream)

Permitted Feature SM2. (Downstream)

Receiving Water Body's Water Quality

No stream survey has been conducted for this facility.

Part V – Rationale and Derivation of Effluent Limitations & Permit Conditions

ALTERNATIVE EVALUATIONS FOR NEW FACILITIES:

As per [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)(A)], discharges to losing streams shall be permitted only after other alternatives including land application, discharges to a gaining stream and connection to a regional wastewater treatment facility have been evaluated and determined to be unacceptable for environmental and/or economic reasons.

- The facility discharges to a Losing Stream as defined by [10 CSR 20-2.010(36)] & [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(N)], or is an existing facility, and has submitted an alternative evaluation.
- The facility does not discharge to a Losing Stream as defined by [10 CSR 20-2.010(36)] & [10 CSR 20-7.031(1)(N)], or is an existing facility.

ANTI-BACKSLIDING:

A provision in the Federal Regulations [CWA §303(d)(4); CWA §402(o); 40 CFR Part 122.44(l)] that requires a reissued permit to be as stringent as the previous permit with some exceptions.

- All limits in this operating permit are at least as protective as those previously established; therefore, backsliding does not apply.
- Limitations in this operating permit for the reissuance of this permit conform to the anti-backsliding provisions of Section 402(o) of the Clean Water Act, and 40 CFR Part 122.44.
- Information is available which was not available at the time of permit issuance (other than revised regulations, guidance, or test methods) and which would have justified the application of a less stringent effluent limitation at the time of permit issuance.
- Effluent limitations were re-calculated for Ammonia based new information derived from discharge monitoring reports and on the current Missouri Water Quality Standards for Ammonia. The newly established limitations are still protective of water quality.
 - Temperature was removed from the permit as the Department has concluded that domestic wastewater treatment facilities have no reasonable potential to exceed Water Quality Standards for temperature.
 - WET testing requirements were changed from pass/fail to monitoring only for toxic units. This change reflects modifications to Missouri's Effluent Regulation found at 10 CSR 20-7.015. 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(ii) requiring the department to establish effluent limitations to control all parameters which have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion above any state water quality standard, including state narrative criteria. The previous permit imposed a pass/fail limitation without collecting sufficient numerical data to conduct an analytical reasonable potential analysis. The permit writer has made a reasonable potential determination which concluded the facility does not have reasonable potential at this time but monitoring is required. Implementation of the toxic unit monitoring requirement will allow the department to effect numeric criteria in accordance with water quality standards established under §303 of the CWA.

- The Department determines that technical mistakes or mistaken interpretations of law were made in issuing the permit under section 402(a)(1)(b).
- **General Criteria.** The previous permit contained a special condition which described a specific set of prohibitions related to general criteria found in 10 CSR 20-7.031(4). In order to comply with 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1), the permit writer has conducted reasonable potential determinations for each general criterion and established numeric effluent limitations where reasonable potential exists. While the removal of the previous permit special condition creates the appearance of backsliding, since this permit establishes numeric limitations where reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of the general criteria exists the permit maintains sufficient effluent limitations and monitoring requirements in order to protect water quality, this permit is equally protective as compared to the previous permit. Therefore, given this new information, and the fact that the previous permit special condition was not consistent with 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1), an error occurred in the establishment of the general criteria as a special condition of the previous permit. Please see Part VII – Effluent Limits Determination for more information regarding the reasonable potential determinations for each general criterion related to this facility.

ANTIDegradation:

In accordance with Missouri's Water Quality Standard [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)], for domestic wastewater discharge with new, altered, or expanding discharges, the Department is to document by means of Antidegradation Review that the use of a water body's available assimilative capacity is justified. In accordance with Missouri's water quality regulations for antidegradation [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)], degradation may be justified by documenting the socio-economic importance of a discharge after determining the necessity of the discharge. Facilities must submit the antidegradation review request to the department prior to establishing, altering, or expanding discharges. See <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/permits/antideg-implementation.htm>

- No degradation proposed and no further review necessary. Facility did not apply for authorization to increase pollutant loading or to add additional pollutants to their discharge.
- This permit contains new and/or expanded discharge, please see **APPENDIX FOR ANTIDegradation ANALYSIS.**

AREA-WIDE WASTE TREATMENT MANAGEMENT & CONTINUING AUTHORITY:

As per [10 CSR 20-6.010(3)(B)], ... An applicant may utilize a lower preference continuing authority by submitting, as part of the application, a statement waiving preferential status from each existing higher preference authority, providing the waiver does not conflict with any area-wide management plan approved under section 208 of the Federal Clean Water Act or any other regional sewage service and treatment plan approved for higher preference authority by the Department.

BIOSOLIDS & SEWAGE SLUDGE:

Biosolids are solid materials resulting from domestic wastewater treatment that meet federal and state criteria for beneficial uses (i.e. fertilizer). Sewage sludge is solids, semi-solids, or liquid residue generated during the treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works; including but not limited to, domestic septage; scum or solids removed in primary, secondary, or advanced wastewater treatment process; and a material derived from sewage sludge. Sewage sludge does not include ash generated during the firing of sewage sludge in a sewage sludge incinerator or grit and screening generated during preliminary treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works. Additional information regarding biosolids and sludge is located at the following web address:

<http://extension.missouri.edu/main/DisplayCategory.aspx?C=74>, items WQ422 through WQ449.

- Permittee has and a Department approved biosolids management plan, and is authorized to land applies biosolids in accordance with Standard Conditions III.

- This condition is not applicable to the permittee for this facility.

COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT:

Enforcement is the action taken by the Water Protection Program (WPP) to bring an entity into compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or any terms and conditions of an operating permit. The primary purpose of the enforcement activity in the WPP is to resolve violations and return the entity to compliance.

- The facility is currently under enforcement action. The facility is under enforcement action due to the Combined Sewer System overflows.

- The facility is not currently under Water Protection Program enforcement action.

ELECTRONIC DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORT (EDMR) SUBMISSION SYSTEM:

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated a final rule on October 22, 2015, to modernize Clean Water Act reporting for municipalities, industries, and other facilities by converting to an electronic data reporting system. This final rule requires regulated entities and state and federal regulators to use information technology to electronically report data required by the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program instead of filing paper reports. To comply with the federal rule, the Department is requiring all permittees to begin submitting discharge monitoring data and reports online.

Per 40 CFR 127.15 and 127.24, permitted facilities may request a temporary waiver for up to 5 years or a permanent waiver from electronic reporting from the Department. To obtain an electronic reporting waiver, a permittee must first submit an eDMR Waiver Request Form: <http://dnr.mo.gov/forms/780-2692-f.pdf>. A request must be made for each facility. If more than one facility is owned or operated by a single entity, then the entity must submit a separate request for each facility based on its specific circumstances. An approved waiver is non-transferable.

The Department must review and notify the facility within 120 calendar days of receipt if the waiver request has been approved or rejected [40 CFR 124.27(a)]. During the Department review period as well as after a waiver is granted, the facility must continue submitting a hard-copy of any reports required by their permit. The Department will enter data submitted in hard-copy from those facilities allowed to do so and electronically submit the data to the EPA on behalf of the facility.

- The permittee/facility is currently using the eDMR data reporting system.

PRETREATMENT PROGRAM:

The reduction of the amount of pollutants, the elimination of pollutants, or the alteration of the nature of pollutant properties in wastewater prior to or in lieu of discharging or otherwise introducing such pollutants into a Publicly Owned Treatment Works [40 CFR Part 403.3(q)].

Pretreatment programs are required at any POTW (or combination of POTW operated by the same authority) and/or municipality with a total design flow greater than 5.0 MGD and receiving industrial wastes that interfere with or pass through the treatment works or are otherwise subject to the pretreatment standards. Pretreatment programs can also be required at POTWs/municipals with a design flow less than 5.0 MGD if needed to prevent interference with operations or pass through.

Several special conditions pertaining to the permittee's pretreatment program may be included in the permit, and are as follows:

- Implementation and enforcement of the program,
- Annual pretreatment report submittal,
- Submittal of list of industrial users,
- Technical evaluation of need to establish local limitations, and
- Submittal of the results of the evaluation

- This permittee has an approved pretreatment program in accordance with the requirements of [40 CFR Part 403] and [10 CSR 20-6.100] and is expected to implement and enforce its approved program.

REASONABLE POTENTIAL ANALYSIS (RPA):

Federal regulation [40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1)(i)] requires effluent limitations for all pollutants that are or may be discharged at a level that will cause or have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an in-stream excursion above narrative or numeric water quality standard.

In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(d)(1)(iii)] if the permit writer determines that any given pollutant has the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an in-stream excursion above the WQS, the permit must contain effluent limits for that pollutant.

- A RPA was conducted on appropriate parameters. Please see **APPENDIX – RPA RESULTS**.

- A RPA was not conducted for this facility.

REMOVAL EFFICIENCY:

Removal efficiency is a method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day (BOD₅) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) for Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)/municipals.

- Secondary Treatment is 85% removal [40 CFR Part 133.102(a)(3) & (b)(3)].

- Equivalent to Secondary Treatment is 65% removal [40 CFR Part 133.105(a)(3) & (b)(3)].

SANITARY SEWER OVERFLOWS (SSO) AND INFLOW AND INFILTRATION (I&I):

Sanitary Sewer Overflows (SSOs) are defined as untreated sewage releases and are considered bypassing under state regulation [10 CSR 20-2.010(11)] and should not be confused with the federal definition of bypass. SSOs result from a variety of causes including blockages, line breaks, and sewer defects that can either allow wastewater to backup within the collection system during dry weather conditions or allow excess stormwater and groundwater to enter and overload the collection system during wet weather conditions. SSOs can also result from lapses in sewer system operation and maintenance, inadequate sewer design and construction, power failures, and vandalism. SSOs include overflows out of manholes, cleanouts, broken pipes, and other into waters of the state and onto city streets, sidewalks, and other terrestrial locations.

Inflow and Infiltration (I&I) is defined as unwanted intrusion of stormwater or groundwater into a collection system. This can occur from points of direct connection such as sump pumps, roof drain downspouts, foundation drains, and storm drain cross-connections or through cracks, holes, joint failures, faulty line connections, damaged manholes, and other openings in the collection system itself. I&I results from a variety of causes including line breaks, improperly sealed connections, cracks caused by soil erosion/settling, penetration of vegetative roots, and other sewer defects. In addition, excess stormwater and groundwater entering the collection system from line breaks and sewer defects have the potential to negatively impact the treatment facility.

Missouri RSMo §644.026.1.(13) mandates that the Department issue permits for discharges of water contaminants into the waters of this state, and also for the operation of sewer systems. Such permit conditions shall ensure compliance with all requirements as established by sections 644.006 to 644.141. Standard Conditions Part I, referenced in the permit, contains provisions requiring proper operation and maintenance of all facilities and systems of treatment and control. Missouri RSMo §644.026.1.(15) instructs the Department to require proper maintenance and operation of treatment facilities and sewer systems and proper disposal of residual waste from all such facilities. To ensure that public health and the environment are protected, any noncompliance which may endanger public health or the environment must be reported to the Department within 24 hours of the time the permittee becomes aware of the noncompliance. Standard Conditions Part I, referenced in the permit, contains the reporting requirements for the permittee when bypasses and upsets occur. The permit also contains requirements for permittees to develop and implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system. The permit requires that the permittee submit an annual report to the Department for the previous calendar year that contains a summary of efforts taken by the permittee to locate and eliminate sources of excess I & I, a summary of general maintenance and repairs to the collection system, and a summary of any planned maintenance and repairs to the collection system for the upcoming calendar year.

- At this time, the Department recommends the US EPA's Guide for Evaluating Capacity, Management, Operation and Maintenance (CMOM) Programs At Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems (Document # EPA 305-B-05-002) or the Departments'

CMOM Model located at <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/permits/docs/cmom-template.doc>. For additional information regarding the Departments' CMOM Model, see the CMOM Plan Model Guidance document at <http://dnr.mo.gov/pubs/pub2574.htm>. The CMOM identifies some of the criteria used to evaluate a collection system's management, operation, and maintenance and was intended for use by the EPA, state, regulated community, and/or third party entities. The CMOM is applicable to small, medium, and large systems; both public and privately owned; and both regional and satellite collection systems. The CMOM does not substitute for the Clean Water Act, the Missouri Clean Water Law, and both federal and state regulations, as it is not a regulation.

- This facility is not required to develop or implement a program for maintenance and repair of the collection system; however, it is a violation of Missouri State Environmental Laws and Regulations to allow untreated wastewater to discharge to waters of the state.

SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE (SOC):

Per 644.051.4 RSMo, a permit may be issued with a Schedule of Compliance (SOC) to provide time for a facility to come into compliance with new state or federal effluent regulations, water quality standards, or other requirements. Such a schedule is not allowed if the facility is already in compliance with the new requirement, or if prohibited by other statute or regulation. A SOC includes an enforceable sequence of interim requirements (actions, operations, or milestone events) leading to compliance with the Missouri Clean Water Law, its implementing regulations, and/or the terms and conditions of an operating permit. *See also* Section 502(17) of the Clean Water Act, and 40 CFR §122.2. For new effluent limitations, the permit includes interim monitoring for the specific parameter to demonstrate the facility is not already in compliance with the new requirement. Per 40 CFR § 122.47(a)(1) and 10 CSR 20-7.031(11), compliance must occur as soon as possible. If the permit provides a schedule for meeting new water quality based effluent limits, a SOC must include an enforceable, final effluent limitation in the permit even if the SOC extends beyond the life of the permit.

A SOC is not allowed:

- For effluent limitations based on technology-based standards established in accordance with federal requirements, if the deadline for compliance established in federal regulations has passed. 40 CFR § 125.3.
- For a newly constructed facility in most cases. Newly constructed facilities must meet applicable effluent limitations when discharge begins, because the facility has installed the appropriate control technology as specified in a permit or antidegradation review. A SOC is allowed for a new water quality based effluent limit that was not included in a previously public noticed permit or antidegradation review, which may occur if a regulation changes during construction.
- To develop a TMDL, UAA, or other study associated with development of a site specific criterion. A facility is not prohibited from conducting these activities, but a SOC may not be granted for conducting these activities.

In order to provide guidance to Permit Writers in developing SOCs, and attain a greater level of consistency, on April 9, 2015 the Department issued an updated policy on development of SOCs. This policy provides guidance to Permit Writers on the standard time frames for schedules for common activities, and guidance on factors that may modify the length of the schedule such as a Cost Analysis for Compliance.

- The time given for effluent limitations of this permit listed under Interim Effluent Limitation and Final Effluent Limitations were established in accordance with [10 CSR 20-7.031(11)]. The facility has been given a schedule of compliance to meet final effluent limits for Ammonia. The facility shall attain compliance with final effluent limitations as soon as reasonably achievable or no later than two (2) year of the effective date of this permit. The existing facility employs technology that has been capable of meeting the proposed final effluent limitations for Ammonia, but discharge monitoring reports indicate the facility has not always been in compliance with the proposed limitations. The City reported that these exceedances were due to seasonal conditions in which nitrification was slowed. Therefore this permit includes a five (5) year schedule of compliance to allow the facility time to make operational changes during the different seasons, especially the winter months, so that consistent compliance with final effluent limitations for ammonia is attained.

- This permit does not contain a SOC.

SEWER EXTENSION AUTHORITY SUPERVISED PROGRAM:

In accordance with [10 CSR 20-6.010(6)(A)], the department may grant approval of a permittee's Sewer Extension Authority Supervised Program. These approved permittees regulate and approve construction of sanitary sewers and pump stations, which are tributary to this wastewater treatment facility. The permittee shall act as the continuing authority for the operation, maintenance, and modernization of the constructed collection system. See <http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/permits/sewer-extension.htm>.

- The permittee's Sewer Extension Authority Supervised Program has been reauthorized. Please see **Appendix – Sewer Extension Authority Supervised Program Reauthorization Letter** for applicable conditions.

- The permittee does not have a department approved Sewer Extension Authority Supervised Program.

STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP):

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(k) *Best Management Practices (BMPs)* to control or abate the discharge of pollutants when: (1) Authorized under section 304(e) of the Clean Water Act (CWA) for the control of toxic pollutants and hazardous substances from ancillary industrial activities; (2) Authorized under section 402(p) of the CWA for the control of stormwater discharges; (3) Numeric effluent limitations are infeasible; or (4) the practices are reasonably necessary to achieve effluent limitations and standards or to carry out the purposes and intent of the CWA.

In accordance with the EPA's *Developing Your Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, A Guide for Industrial Operators*, (Document number EPA 833-B-09-002) [published by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) in February 2009], BMPs are measures or practices used to reduce the amount of pollution entering (regarding this operating permit) waters of the state. BMPs may take the form of a process, activity, or physical structure.

Additionally in accordance with the Stormwater Management, a SWPPP is a series of steps and activities to (1) identify sources of pollution or contamination, and (2) select and carry out actions which prevent or control the pollution of stormwater discharges. The purpose of a SWPPP is to comply with all applicable stormwater regulations by creating an adaptive management plan to control and mitigate stream pollution from stormwater runoff. Developing a SWPPP provides opportunities to employ appropriate BMPs to minimize the risk of pollutants being discharged during storm events. The following paragraph outlines the general steps the permittee should take to determine which BMPs will work to achieve the benchmark values or limits in the permit. This section is not intended to be all encompassing or restrict the use of any physical BMP or operational and maintenance procedure assisting in pollution control. Additional steps or revisions to the SWPPP may be required to meet the requirements of the permit.

Areas which should be included in the SWPPP are identified in 40 CFR 122.26(b)(14). Once the potential sources of stormwater pollution have been identified, a plan should be formulated to best control the amount of pollutant being released and discharged by each activity or source. This should include, but is not limited to, minimizing exposure to stormwater, good housekeeping measures, proper facility and equipment maintenance, spill prevention and response, vehicle traffic control, and proper materials handling. Once a plan has been developed the facility will employ the control measures determined to be adequate to achieve the benchmark values discussed above. The facility will conduct monitoring and inspections of the BMPs to ensure they are working properly and re-evaluate any BMP not achieving compliance with permitting requirements. For example, if sample results from an outfall show values of TSS above the benchmark value, the BMP being employed is deficient in controlling stormwater pollution. Corrective action should be taken to repair, improve, or replace the failing BMP. This internal evaluation is required at least once per month but should be continued more frequently if BMPs continue to fail. If failures do occur, continue this trial and error process until appropriate BMPs have been established.

For new, altered, or expanded stormwater discharges, the SWPPP shall identify reasonable and effective BMPs while accounting for environmental impacts of varying control methods. The antidegradation analysis must document why no discharge or no exposure options are not feasible. The selection and documentation of appropriate control measures shall serve as an alternative analysis of technology and fulfill the requirements of antidegradation [10 CSR 20-7.031(3)]. Failure to implement and maintain the chosen BMP is a permit violation. For further guidance, consult the antidegradation implementation procedure (<http://dnr.mo.gov/env/wpp/docs/AIP050212.pdf>).

Alternative Analysis (AA) evaluation of the BMPs is a structured evaluation of BMPs that are reasonable and cost effective. The AA evaluation should include practices that are designed to be: 1) non-degrading; 2) less degrading; or 3) degrading water quality. The glossary of AIP defines these three terms. The chosen BMP will be the most reasonable and effective management strategy while ensuring the highest statutory and regulatory requirements are achieved and the highest quality water attainable for the facility is discharged. The AA evaluation must demonstrate why "no discharge" or "no exposure" is not a feasible alternative at the facility. This structured analysis of BMPs serves as the antidegradation review, fulfilling the requirements of 10 CSR 20-7.031(3) Water Quality Standards and *Antidegradation Implementation Procedure (AIP)*, Section II.B.

If parameter-specific numeric exceedances continue to occur and the permittee feels there are no practicable or cost-effective BMPs which will sufficiently reduce a pollutant concentration in the discharge to the benchmark values established in the permit, the permittee can submit a request to re-evaluate the benchmark values. This request needs to include 1) a detailed explanation of why the facility is unable to comply with the permit conditions and unable to establish BMPs to achieve the benchmark values; 2) financial data of the company and documentation of cost associated with BMPs for review and 3) the SWPPP, which should contain adequate documentation of BMPs employed, failed BMPs, corrective actions, and all other required information. This will allow the department to conduct a cost analysis on control measures and actions taken by the facility to determine cost-effectiveness of BMPs. The request shall be submitted in the form of an operating permit modification; the application is found at: <http://dnr.mo.gov/forms/index.html>.

- 10 CSR 20-6.200 and 40 CFR 122.26 includes treatment works treating domestic sewage or any other sewage sludge or wastewater treatment device or system, used in the storage treatment, recycling, and reclamation of municipal or domestic sewage, including land dedicated to the disposal of sewage sludge that is located within the confines of the facility, with a design flow of 1.0 mgd or more, or are required to have an approved pretreatment program under 40 CFR part 403, as an industrial activity in which permit coverage is required.

WLA MODELING:

There are two general types of effluent limitations, technology-based effluent limits (TBELs) and water quality based effluent limits (WQBELs). If TBELs do not provide adequate protection for the receiving waters, then WQBEL must be used.

- A WLA study including model was submitted to the Department.
- A WLA study was either not submitted or determined not applicable by Department staff.

WATER QUALITY STANDARDS:

Per [10 CSR 20-7.031(4)], General Criteria shall be applicable to all waters of the state at all times including mixing zones. Additionally, [40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)] directs the Department to establish in each NPDES permit to include conditions to achieve water quality established under Section 303 of the Clean Water Act, including State narrative criteria for water quality.

WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY (WET) TEST:

- The permittee is required to conduct WET test for this facility.

A WET test is a quantifiable method of determining if a discharge from a facility may be causing toxicity to aquatic life by itself, in combination with or through synergistic responses when mixed with receiving stream water.

Under the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) §101(a)(3), requiring WET testing is reasonably appropriate for site-specific Missouri State Operating Permits for discharges to waters of the state issued under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). WET testing is also required by 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1). WET testing ensures that the provisions in the 10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)7. and the Water Quality Standards 10 CSR 20-7.031(4)(D),(F),(G),(I)2.A & B are being met. Under [10 CSR 20-6.010(8)(A)4], the Department may require other terms and conditions that it deems necessary to assure compliance with the Clean Water Act and related regulations of the Missouri Clean Water Commission. In addition the following MCWL apply: §§644.051.3 requires the Department to set permit conditions that comply with the MCWL and CWA; 644.051.4 specifically references toxicity as an item we must consider in writing permits (along with water quality-based effluent limits, pretreatment, etc...); and 644.051.5 is the basic authority to require testing conditions. WET test will be required by facilities meeting the following criteria:

- Facility is a designated Major.
- Facility continuously or routinely exceeds its design flow.
- Facility that exceeds its design population equivalent (PE) for BOD₅ whether or not its design flow is being exceeded.
- Facility (whether primarily domestic or industrial) that alters its production process throughout the year.
- Facility handles large quantities of toxic substances, or substances that are toxic in large amounts.
- Facility has Water Quality-based Effluent Limitations for toxic substances (other than NH₃)
- Facility is a municipality with a Design Flow \geq 22,500 gpd.
- Other – please justify.

- At this time, the permittee is not required to conduct WET test for this facility.

40 CFR 122.41(M) - BYPASSES:

The federal Clean Water Act (CWA), Section 402 prohibits wastewater dischargers from “bypassing” untreated or partially treated sewage (wastewater) beyond the headworks. A bypass is defined as an intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, [40 CFR 122.41(m)(1)(i)]. Additionally, Missouri regulation 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(G) states a bypass means the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, except in the case of blending, to waters of the state. Only under exceptional and specified limitations do the federal regulations allow for a facility to bypass some or all of the flow from its treatment process. Bypasses are prohibited by the CWA unless a permittee can meet all of the criteria listed in 40 CFR 122.41(m)(4)(i)(A), (B), & (C). Any bypasses from this facility are subject to the reporting required in 40 CFR 122.41(l)(6) and per Missouri’s Standard Conditions I, Section B, part 2.b. Additionally, Anticipated Bypasses include bypasses from peak flow basins or similar devices designed for peak wet weather flows.

- Bypasses occur or have occurred at this facility.
- This facility does not anticipate bypassing.

303(d) LIST & TOTAL MAXIMUM DAILY LOAD (TMDL):

Section 303(d) of the federal Clean Water Act requires that each state identify waters that are not meeting water quality standards and for which adequate water pollution controls have not been required. Water quality standards protect such beneficial uses of water as whole body contact (such as swimming), maintaining fish and other aquatic life, and providing drinking water for people, livestock and wildlife. The 303(d) list helps state and federal agencies keep track of waters that are impaired but not addressed by normal water pollution control programs.

In lieu of requiring sampling in the site-specific permit, the facility is required to develop and implement a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan. A facility can apply for conditional exclusion for “no exposure” of industrial activities and materials to stormwater by submitting to the Department a completed NPDES Form 3510-11 – No Exposure Certification for Exclusion from NPDES Stormwater Permitting. That document can be found at https://www3.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/msgp2008_appendixk.pdf and additional information may be found at <https://www.epa.gov/npdes/stormwater-discharges-industrial-activities#exclusion>. Upon approval of the “No Exposure”, the permit can be modified to remove the SWPPP requirements. If the facility chooses to retain the conditional exclusion for “no exposure”, the facility is required to renew the “No Exposure” exemption during the permit renewal period by submitting NPDES Form 3510-11 with Form B2.

- At this time, the permittee is not required to develop and implement a SWPPP.

VARIANCE:

As per the Missouri Clean Water Law § 644.061.4, variances shall be granted for such period of time and under such terms and conditions as shall be specified by the commission in its order. The variance may be extended by affirmative action of the commission. In no event shall the variance be granted for a period of time greater than is reasonably necessary for complying with the Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141 or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated pursuant to Missouri Clean Water Law §§644.006 to 644.141.

- This operating permit is drafted under premises of a petition for variance.

- This operating permit is not drafted under premises of a petition for variance.

WASTELOAD ALLOCATIONS (WLA) FOR LIMITS:

As per [10 CSR 20-2.010(78)], the amount of pollutant each discharger is allowed by the Department to release into a given stream after the Department has determined total amount of pollutant that may be discharged into that stream without endangering its water quality.

- Wasteload allocations were calculated where applicable using water quality criteria or water quality model results and the dilution equation below:

$$C_e = \frac{(Q_e + Q_s)C - (Q_s \times C_s)}{(Q_e)} \quad (\text{EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 4.5.5})$$

Where C = downstream concentration C_e = effluent concentration
Cs = upstream concentration Q_e = effluent flow
Q_s = upstream flow

Chronic wasteload allocations were determined using applicable chronic water quality criteria (CCC: criteria continuous concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the mixing zone (MZ). Acute wasteload allocations were determined using applicable water quality criteria (CMC: criteria maximum concentration) and stream volume of flow at the edge of the zone of initial dilution (ZID).

Water quality based maximum daily and average monthly effluent limitations were calculated using methods and procedures outlined in USEPA’s “Technical Support Document For Water Quality-based Toxics Control” (EPA/505/2-90-001).

Number of Samples “n”:

Additionally, in accordance with the TSD for water quality-based permitting, effluent quality is determined by the underlying distribution of daily values, which is determined by the Long Term Average (LTA) associated with a particular Wasteload Allocation (WLA) and by the Coefficient of Variation (CV) of the effluent concentrations. Increasing or decreasing the monitoring frequency does not affect this underlying distribution or treatment performance, which should be, at a minimum, be targeted to comply with the values dictated by the WLA. Therefore, it is recommended that the actual planned frequency of monitoring normally be used to determine the value of “n” for calculating the AML. However, in situations where monitoring frequency is once per month or less, a higher value for “n” must be assumed for AML derivation purposes. Thus, the statistical procedure being employed using an assumed number of samples is “n = 4” at a minimum. For Total Ammonia as Nitrogen, “n = 30” is used

- Wasteload allocations were not calculated.

Mercury, Total Recoverable	µg/L	9	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Nickel, Total Recoverable	µg/L	9	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Selenium, Total Recoverable	µg/L	9	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Silver, Total Recoverable	µg/L	9	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Thallium, Total Recoverable	µg/L	9	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Zinc, Total Recoverable	µg/L	9	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	µg/L	9	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity	TUa	1, 9	*			***	1/year	annually	C
Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity	TUc	1, 9	*			***	1/permit cycle	1/permit cycle	C
PARAMETER	Unit	Basis for Limits	Minimum		Maximum	Previous Permit Limit	Sampling Frequency	Reporting Frequency	Sample Type
pH	SU	1	6.5		9.0	6.5-9.0	1/week	monthly	G
PARAMETER	Unit	Basis for Limits			Monthly Avg Min	Previous Permit Limit	Sampling Frequency	Reporting Frequency	Sample Type
BOD ₅ Percent Removal	%	1			65	65	1/month	monthly	M
TSS Percent Removal	%	1			65	65	1/month	monthly	M

* - Monitoring requirement only.

** - #/100mL; the Monthly Average for *E. coli* is a geometric mean.

*** - Parameter not previously established in previous state operating permit.

**** - C = 24-hour composite

G = Grab

T = 24-hr. total

E = 24-hr. estimate

M = Measured/calculated

Basis for Limitations Codes:

- | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1. State or Federal Regulation/Law | 5. Antidegradation Policy | 9. WET Test Policy |
| 2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA) | 6. Water Quality Model | 10. Multiple Discharger Variance |
| 3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits | 7. Best Professional Judgment | |
| 4. Antidegradation Review | 8. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL | |

OUTFALL #001 – DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF LIMITS:

- **Flow.** In accordance with [40 CFR Part 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] the volume of effluent discharged from each outfall is needed to assure compliance with permitted effluent limitations. If the permittee is unable to obtain effluent flow, then it is the responsibility of the permittee to inform the Department, which may require the submittal of an operating permit modification.

- **Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅).**

- Effluent limitations have been retained from previous state operating permit, please see the **APPLICABLE DESIGNATION OF WATERS OF THE STATE** sub-section of the **Effluent Limits Determination.**

- **Total Suspended Solids (TSS).**

- Effluent limitations have been retained from previous state operating permit, please see the **APPLICABLE DESIGNATION OF WATERS OF THE STATE** sub-section of the **Effluent Limits Determination.**

- ***Escherichia coli (E. coli).*** Monthly average of 206 per 100 mL as a geometric mean and Weekly Average of 1030 per 100 mL as a geometric mean during the recreational season (April 1 – October 31), to protect Whole Body Contact Recreation (B) designated use of the receiving stream, as per 10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(C). An effluent limit for both monthly average and weekly average is required by 40 CFR 122.45(d). The Geometric Mean is calculated by multiplying all of the data points and then taking the nth root of this product, where n = # of samples collected. For example: Five *E. coli* samples were collected with results of 1, 4, 6, 10, and 5 (#/100mL). Geometric Mean = 5th root of (1)(4)(6)(10)(5) = 5th root of 1,200 = 4.1 #/100mL.

- **Total Ammonia Nitrogen.** Early Life Stages Present Total Ammonia Nitrogen criteria apply [10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(B)7.C. & Table B3]. Background total ammonia nitrogen = 0.01 mg/L. No mixing considerations allowed; therefore, WLA = appropriate criterion.

A TMDL is a calculation of the maximum amount of a given pollutant that a body of water can absorb before its water quality is affected. If a water body is determined to be impaired as listed on the 303(d) list, then a watershed management plan will be developed that shall include the TMDL calculation

- This facility discharges to a 303(d) listed stream.

- This facility discharges to a stream with an EPA approved TMDL. The discharge from the Macon WWTP is over 10 stream miles from the portion of the Middle Fork Salt River that has an EPA approved TMDL. The TMDL for the Middle Fork Salt River was approved November 1, 2006. Based on the assessment of sources, point sources do not contribute to water quality impairment relative to sediment impacts on stream biology. Thus, the WLAs are zero percentage net reduction in sediment load. These facilities' WLAs are set at the current permit limits and conditions.

Part VI – Effluent Limits Determination

APPLICABLE DESIGNATIONS OF WATERS OF THE STATE:

As per Missouri's Effluent Regulations [10 CSR 20-7.015], the waters of the state are divided into the below listed seven (7) categories. Each category lists effluent limitations for specific parameters, which are presented in each outfall's Effluent Limitation Table and further discussed in the Derivation & Discussion of Limits section.

- Missouri or Mississippi River [10 CSR 20-7.015(2)]
- Lake or Reservoir [10 CSR 20-7.015(3)]
- Losing [10 CSR 20-7.015(4)]
- Metropolitan No-Discharge [10 CSR 20-7.015(5)]
- Subsurface Water [10 CSR 20-7.015(7)]
- All Other Waters [10 CSR 20-7.015(8)]

OUTFALL #001 – MAIN FACILITY OUTFALL

Effluent limitations derived and established in the below Effluent Limitations Table are based on current operations of the facility. Future permit action due to facility modification may contain new operating permit terms and conditions that supersede the terms and conditions, including effluent limitations, of this operating permit.

EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS TABLE:

PARAMETER	Unit	Basis for Limits	Daily Maximum	Weekly Average	Monthly Average	Previous Permit Limit	Sampling Frequency	Reporting Frequency	Sample Type ****
Flow	MGD	1	*		*	*/*	1/day	monthly	T
BOD ₅	mg/L	1		45	30	45/30	1/week	monthly	C
TSS	mg/L	1		45	30	45/30	1/week	monthly	C
<i>Escherichia coli</i> **	#/100mL	1, 3		1030	206	*/206	1/week	monthly	G
Ammonia (Apr 1–Sep 30) (Interim)	mg/L	2, 3	4.5		1.6	4.5/1.6	1/week	monthly	G
Ammonia (Oct 1–Mar 31) (Interim)	mg/L	2, 3	11.4		2.9	10.3/2.9	1/week	monthly	G
Ammonia (Apr 1–Sep 30) (Final)	mg/L	2, 3	3.6		1.4	4.5/1.6	1/week	monthly	G
Ammonia (Oct 1–Mar 31) (Final)	mg/L	2, 3	11.4		2.6	11.4/2.9	1/week	monthly	G
Oil & Grease	mg/L	1, 3	15		10	15/10	1/month	monthly	G
Total Nitrogen	mg/L	1	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	1	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Aluminum, Total Recoverable	µg/L	9	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Antimony, Total Recoverable	µg/L	9	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Arsenic, Total Recoverable	µg/L	9	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Beryllium, Total Recoverable	µg/L	9	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Cadmium, Total Recoverable	µg/L	9	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Copper, Total Recoverable	µg/L	9	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Cyanide, Amenable to Chlorination	µg/L	9	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Iron, Total Recoverable	µg/L	9	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G
Lead, Total Recoverable	µg/L	9	*		*	***	1/quarter	quarterly	G

Season	Temp (°C)	pH (SU)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CCC (mg/L)	Total Ammonia Nitrogen CMC (mg/L)
Summer	26	7.8	1.5	12.1
Winter	6	7.8	3.1	12.1

Summer: April 1 – September 30

Chronic WLA: $C_e = ((3.875 + 0.0)1.5 - (0.0 * 0.01))/3.875$
 $C_e = 1.5 \text{ mg/L}$

Acute WLA: $C_e = ((3.875 + 0.0)12.1 - (0.0 * 0.01))/3.875$
 $C_e = 12.1 \text{ mg/L}$

$LTA_c = 1.5 \text{ mg/L} (0.785) = 1.18 \text{ mg/L}$
 $LTA_a = 12.1 \text{ mg/L} (0.328) = 3.97 \text{ mg/L}$

[CV = 0.59, 99th Percentile, 30 day avg.]
 [CV = 0.59, 99th Percentile]

Use most protective number of LTA_c or LTA_a .

$MDL = 1.18 \text{ mg/L} (3.05) = 3.6 \text{ mg/L}$
 $AML = 1.18 \text{ mg/L} (1.18) = 1.4 \text{ mg/L}$

[CV = 0.59, 99th Percentile]
 [CV = 0.59, 95th Percentile, n =30]

Winter: October 1 – March 31

Chronic WLA: $C_e = ((3.875 + 0.0)3.1 - (0.0 * 0.01))/3.875$
 $C_e = 3.1 \text{ mg/L}$

Acute WLA: $C_e = ((3.875 + 0.0)12.1 - (0.0 * 0.01))/3.875$
 $C_e = 12.1 \text{ mg/L}$

$LTA_c = 3.1 \text{ mg/L} (0.596) = 1.85 \text{ mg/L}$
 $LTA_a = 12.1 \text{ mg/L} (0.162) = 1.96 \text{ mg/L}$

[CV = 1.3, 99th Percentile, 30 day avg.]
 [CV = 1.3, 99th Percentile]

Use most protective number of LTA_c or LTA_a .

$MDL = 1.85 \text{ mg/L} (6.17) = 11.4 \text{ mg/L}$
 $AML = 1.85 \text{ mg/L} (1.43) = 2.6 \text{ mg/L}$

[CV = 1.3, 99th Percentile]
 [CV = 1.3, 95th Percentile, n =30]

- **Oil & Grease.** Conventional pollutant, effluent limitation for protection of aquatic life; 10 mg/L monthly average, 15 mg/L daily maximum.
- **Total Phosphorus and Total Nitrogen.** Monitoring required for facilities greater than 100,000 gpd design flow per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(D)7. Total Nitrogen shall be determined by testing for Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN) and Nitrate + Nitrite and reporting the sum of the results (reported as N). Nitrate + Nitrite can be analyzed together or separately.
- **pH.** – 6.5-9.0 SU. pH limitations of 6.0-9.0 SU [10 CSR 20-7.015] are not protective of the in-stream Water Quality Standard, which states that water contaminants shall not cause pH to be outside the range of 6.5-9.0 SU. No mixing zone is allowed due to the classification of the receiving stream, therefore the water quality standard must be met at the outfall.
- **Aluminum, Total Recoverable.** Monitoring requirement only. This facility accepts leachate from a landfill that has the potential to contain Aluminum. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility’s discharge to exceed water quality standards for Aluminum (Total Recoverable).
- **Antimony, Total Recoverable.** Monitoring requirement only. This facility accepts leachate from a landfill that has the potential to contain Antimony. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility’s discharge to exceed water quality standards for Antimony (Total Recoverable).
- **Arsenic, Total Recoverable.** Monitoring requirement only. This facility accepts leachate from a landfill that has the potential to contain Arsenic. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility’s discharge to exceed water quality standards for Arsenic (Total Recoverable).

- **Beryllium, Total Recoverable.** Monitoring requirement only. This facility accepts leachate from a landfill that has the potential to contain Beryllium. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards for Beryllium (Total Recoverable).
- **Cadmium, Total Recoverable.** Monitoring requirement only. This facility accepts leachate from a landfill that has the potential to contain Cadmium. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards for Cadmium (Total Recoverable).
- **Copper, Total Recoverable.** Monitoring requirement only. This facility accepts leachate from a landfill that has the potential to contain Copper. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards for Copper (Total Recoverable).
- **Cyanide, Amenable to Chlorination.** Monitoring requirement only. This facility accepts leachate from a landfill that has the potential to contain Cyanide. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards for Cyanide (amenable to chlorination).
- **Iron, Total Recoverable.** Monitoring requirement only. This facility accepts leachate from a landfill that has the potential to contain Iron. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards for Iron (Total Recoverable).
- **Lead, Total Recoverable.** Monitoring requirement only. This facility accepts leachate from a landfill that has the potential to contain Lead. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards for Lead (Total Recoverable).
- **Mercury, Total Recoverable.** Monitoring requirement only. This facility accepts leachate from a landfill that has the potential to contain Mercury. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards for Mercury (Total Recoverable).
- **Nickel, Total Recoverable.** Monitoring requirement only. This facility accepts leachate from a landfill that has the potential to contain Nickel. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards for Nickel (Total Recoverable).
- **Selenium, Total Recoverable.** Monitoring requirement only. This facility accepts leachate from a landfill that has the potential to contain Selenium. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards for Selenium (Total Recoverable).
- **Silver, Total Recoverable.** Monitoring requirement only. This facility accepts leachate from a landfill that has the potential to contain Silver. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards for Silver (Total Recoverable).
- **Thallium, Total Recoverable.** Monitoring requirement only. This facility accepts leachate from a landfill that has the potential to contain Thallium. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards for Thallium (Total Recoverable).
- **Zinc, Total Recoverable.** Monitoring requirement only. This facility accepts leachate from a landfill that has the potential to contain Zinc. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards for Zinc (Total Recoverable).
- **Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate.** Monitoring requirement only. The facility provided the sample results for the Expanded Effluent Tests as required by the application for renewal. This parameter showed up as being detected on the sample results. As a result, monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards for Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate.
- **Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD₅) Percent Removal.** In accordance with 40 CFR Part 133.102(a)(3) & (b)(3), removal efficiency is a method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day (BOD₅) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) for Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)/municipals. This facility is required to meet 65% removal efficiency for BOD₅.
- **Total Suspended Solids (TSS) Percent Removal.** In accordance with 40 CFR Part 133.105(a)(3) & (b)(3), removal efficiency is a method by which the Federal Regulations define Secondary Treatment and Equivalent to Secondary Treatment, which applies to Biochemical Oxygen Demand 5-day (BOD₅) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) for Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)/municipals. This facility is required to meet 65% removal efficiency for TSS.

- **Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity.** Monitoring requirement only. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards.

Acute Allowable Effluent Concentrations (AECs) for facilities that discharge to Waters of the State lacking designated uses, Class C, Class P (with default Mixing Considerations), or Lakes [10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(A)4.B.(IV)(b)] are 100%, 50%, 25%, 12.5%, & 6.25%.

- **Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity.** Monitoring requirement only. Monitoring is required to determine if reasonable potential exists for this facility's discharge to exceed water quality standards.

Chronic Allowable Effluent Concentrations (AECs) for facilities that discharge to Waters of the State lacking designated uses, Class C, Class P (with default Mixing Considerations), or Lakes [10 CSR 20-7.031(5)(A)4.B.(IV)(b)] are 100%, 50%, 25%, 12.5%, & 6.25%.

- **Parameters Removed.** Temperature was removed as the Department has concluded that domestic wastewater treatment facilities have no reasonable potential to exceed Water Quality Standards for temperature.

Sampling Frequency Justification:

Sampling and Reporting Frequency was retained from previous permit, except for *E. coli*, in which weekly sampling is required for *E. coli*, per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(D)6.A.

WET Test Sampling Frequency Justification. WET Testing schedules and intervals are established in accordance with the Department's Permit Manual; Section 5.2 *Effluent Limits / WET Testing for Compliance Bio-monitoring*. It is recommended that WET testing be conducted during the period of lowest stream flow.

Acute Whole Effluent Toxicity

- No less than **ONCE/YEAR:**

- Facility is designated as a Major facility or has a design flow ≥ 1.0 MGD.
- Facility incorporates a pretreatment program and dilution of the receiving stream is 100x or greater.
- Facility continuously or routinely exceeds their design flow.
- Facility exceeds its design population equivalent (PE) for BOD₅ whether or not its design flow is being exceeded.
- Facility has Water Quality-based effluent limitations for toxic substances (other than NH₃).

Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity

- No less than **ONCE/PERMIT CYCLE:**

- POTW facilities with a design flow of greater than 1.0 million gallons per day, but less than 10 million gallons per day, shall conduct and submit to the Department a chronic WET test no less than once per five years. These minimum testing frequencies may be increased based on toxic parameters present in a facility's in the effluent, demonstrated toxicity in previous WET tests, or based on impacts to the receiving stream

Sampling Type Justification:

As per 10 CSR 20-7.015, BOD₅, TSS, and WET test samples collected for mechanical plants shall be a 24 hour composite sample. Grab samples, however, must be collected for pH, Ammonia as N, *E. coli*, and Oil & Grease. This is due to the holding time restriction for *E. coli*, the volatility of Ammonia, and the fact that pH cannot be preserved and must be sampled in the field. As Ammonia and Oil & Grease samples must be immediately preserved, these samples are to be collected as a grab.

OUTFALL #001 – GENERAL CRITERIA CONSIDERATIONS:

In accordance with 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1), effluent limitations shall be placed into the permit for those pollutants which have been determined to cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above any State water quality standard, including State narrative criteria for water quality. The rule further states that pollutants which have been determined to cause, have the reasonable potential to cause, or contribute to an excursion above a narrative criterion within an applicable State water quality standard, the permit shall contain a numeric effluent limitation to protect that narrative criterion. In order to comply with this regulation, the permit writer will complete reasonable potential determinations on whether the discharge will violate any of the general criteria listed in 10 CSR 20-7.031(4). These specific requirements are listed below followed by derivation and discussion (the lettering matches that of the rule itself, under 10 CSR 20-7.031(4)). It should also be noted that Section 644.076.1, RSMo as well as Section D – Administrative Requirements of Standard Conditions Part I of this permit states that it shall be unlawful for any person to cause or permit any discharge of water contaminants from any water contaminant or point source located in Missouri that

is in violation of sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated by the commission.

- (A) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause the formation of putrescent, unsightly or harmful bottom deposits or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses. The discharge from this facility is made up of treated domestic wastewater. No evidence of an excursion of these criteria have been observed by the department in the past and the facility has not disclosed any other information related to the characteristics of the discharge on their permit application which has the potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of this narrative criteria. Additionally, this facility utilizes equivalent to secondary treatment technology and is currently in compliance with the equivalent secondary treatment technology based effluent limits established in this permit and there has been no indication to the department that the stream has had issues maintaining beneficial uses as a result of this discharge. Based on the information reviewed during the drafting of this permit, these final effluent limitations appear to have protected against the excursion of this criteria in the past. Therefore, the discharge does not have the reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of these criteria.
- (B) Waters shall be free from oil, scum and floating debris in sufficient amounts to be unsightly or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses. Please see (A) above as justification is the same.
- (C) Waters shall be free from substances in sufficient amounts to cause unsightly color or turbidity, offensive odor or prevent full maintenance of beneficial uses. Please see (A) above as justification is the same.
- (D) Waters shall be free from substances or conditions in sufficient amounts to result in toxicity to human, animal or aquatic life. This permit contains final effluent limitations which are protective of both acute and chronic toxicity for various pollutants that are either expected to be discharged by domestic wastewater facilities or that were disclosed by this facility on the application for permit coverage. Based on the information reviewed during the drafting of this permit, it has been determined if the facility meets final effluent limitations established in this permit, there is no reasonable potential for the discharge to cause an excursion of these criteria.
- (E) There shall be no significant human health hazard from incidental contact with the water. Please see (D) above as justification is the same.
- (F) There shall be no acute toxicity to livestock or wildlife watering. Please see (D) above as justification is the same.
- (G) Waters shall be free from physical, chemical or hydrologic changes that would impair the natural biological community. Please see (A) above as justification is the same.
- (H) Waters shall be free from used tires, car bodies, appliances, demolition debris, used vehicles or equipment and solid waste as defined in Missouri's Solid Waste Law, section 260.200, RSMo, except as the use of such materials is specifically permitted pursuant to section 260.200-260.247. The discharge from this facility is made up of treated domestic wastewater. No evidence of an excursion of these criteria have been observed by the department in the past and the facility has not disclosed any other information related to the characteristics of the discharge on their permit application which has the potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of this narrative criteria. Additionally, any solid wastes received or produced at this facility are wholly contained in appropriate storage facilities, are not discharged, and are disposed of offsite. This discharge is subject to Standard Conditions Part III, which contains requirements for the management and disposal of sludge to prevent its discharge. Therefore, this discharge does not have reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of these criteria.

PERMITTED FEATURE SM1 – INSTREAM MONITORING (UPSTREAM)

The monitoring requirements established in the below Monitoring Requirements Table are based on current operations of the facility. Future permit action due to facility modification may contain new operating permit terms and conditions that supersede the terms and conditions, including the monitoring requirements listed in this table..

MONITORING REQUIREMENTS TABLE:

PARAMETER	Unit	Basis for Limits	Daily Maximum	Weekly Average	Monthly Average	Previous Permit Limit	Sampling Frequency	Reporting Frequency	Sample Type ****
Total Nitrogen	mg/L	7	*		*	***	quarterly	quarterly	G
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	7	*		*	***	quarterly	quarterly	G

* - Monitoring requirement only.
 *** - Parameter not previously established in previous state operating permit.
 **** - C = 24-hour composite
 G = Grab
 M = Total Measured /calculated

Basis for Limitations Codes:

- | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1. State or Federal Regulation/Law | 4. Antidegradation Review | 7. Best Professional Judgment |
| 2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA) | 5. Antidegradation Policy | 8. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL |
| 3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits | 6. Water Quality Model | 9. WET Test Policy |

PERMITTED FEATURE SM1 – DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:

- **Total Phosphorus and Total Nitrogen.** Facilities with a design flow greater than 100,000 gallons per day are required to sample their effluent quarterly for Total Phosphorus and Total Nitrogen per 10 CSR 20-7.015(9)(D)7. Upstream monitoring for these parameters is necessary to determine background stream concentrations in order to complete calculations that determine instream nutrient loading.

Sampling Frequency Justification:

The sampling and reporting frequency for Total Phosphorus and Total Nitrogen has been established to match the required sampling frequency of these parameters in the effluent.

Sampling Type Justification

These samples are to be collected as a grab.

PERMITTED FEATURE SM2 – INSTREAM MONITORING (DOWNSTREAM)

The monitoring requirements established in the below Monitoring Requirements Table are based on current operations of the facility. Future permit action due to facility modification may contain new operating permit terms and conditions that supersede the terms and conditions, including the monitoring requirements listed in this table..

MONITORING REQUIREMENTS TABLE:

PARAMETER	Unit	Basis for Limits	Daily Maximum	Weekly Average	Monthly Average	Previous Permit Limit	Sampling Frequency	Reporting Frequency	Sample Type ****
Total Hardness	mg/L	1, 3	*		*	***	monthly	monthly	G

* - Monitoring requirement only.
 *** - Parameter not previously established in previous state operating permit.
 **** - C = 24-hour composite
 G = Grab
 M = Total Measured /calculated

Basis for Limitations Codes:

- | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1. State or Federal Regulation/Law | 4. Antidegradation Review | 7. Best Professional Judgment |
| 2. Water Quality Standard (includes RPA) | 5. Antidegradation Policy | 8. TMDL or Permit in lieu of TMDL |
| 3. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits | 6. Water Quality Model | 9. WET Test Policy |

PERMITTED FEATURE SM2 – DERIVATION AND DISCUSSION OF MONITORING REQUIREMENTS:

- **Total Hardness.**

Sampling Frequency Justification:

The sampling and reporting frequency for Total Hardness has been established to provide for adequate instream hardness data to be used to determine the lower 25 percentile.

Sampling Type Justification

As Total Hardness samples must be immediately preserved; these samples are to be collected as a grab.

Part VII – Cost Analysis for Compliance

Pursuant to Section 644.145, RSMo, when issuing permits under this chapter that incorporate a new requirement for discharges from publicly owned combined or separate sanitary or storm sewer systems or publicly owned treatment works, or when enforcing provisions of this chapter or the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq., pertaining to any portion of a publicly owned combined or separate sanitary or storm sewer system or [publicly owned] treatment works, the Department of Natural Resources shall make a “finding of affordability” on the costs to be incurred and the impact of any rate changes on ratepayers upon which to base such permits and decisions, to the extent allowable under this chapter and the Federal Water Pollution Control Act. This process is completed through a cost analysis for compliance. Permits that do not include new requirements may be deemed affordable.

- The Department is required to determine “findings of affordability” because the permit applies to a combined or separate sanitary sewer system for a publically-owned treatment works.

Cost Analysis for Compliance - The Department has made a reasonable search for empirical data indicating the permit is affordable. The search consisted of a review of Department records that might contain economic data on the community, a review of information provided by the applicant as part of the application, and public comments received in response to public notices of this draft permit. If the empirical cost data was used by the permit writer, this data may consist of median household income, any other ongoing projects that the Department has knowledge, and other demographic financial information that the community provided as contemplated by Section 644. 145.3. See **Appendix – Cost Analysis for Compliance**

- The Department is not required to determine Cost Analysis for Compliance because the permit contains no new conditions or requirements that convey a new cost to the facility.

Part VIII – Administrative Requirements

On the basis of preliminary staff review and the application of applicable standards and regulations, the Department, as administrative agent for the Missouri Clean Water Commission, proposes to issue a permit(s) subject to certain effluent limitations, schedules, and special conditions contained herein and within the operating permit. The proposed determinations are tentative pending public comment.

PERMIT SYNCHRONIZATION:

The Department of Natural Resources is currently undergoing a synchronization process for operating permits. Permits are normally issued on a five-year term, but to achieve synchronization many permits will need to be issued for less than the full five years allowed by regulation. The intent is that all permits within a watershed will move through the Watershed Based Management (WBM) cycle together will all expire in the same fiscal year. This will allow further streamlining by placing multiple permits within a smaller geographic area on public notice simultaneously, thereby reducing repeated administrative efforts. This will also allow the Department to explore a watershed based permitting effort at some point in the future. Renewal applications must continue to be submitted within 180 days of expiration, however, in instances where effluent data from the previous renewal is less than 4 years old, that data may be re-submitted to meet the requirements of the renewal application. If the permit provides a schedule of compliance for meeting new water quality based effluent limits beyond the expiration date of the permit, the time remaining in the schedule of compliance will be allotted in the renewed permit. This permit will expire in the 2nd Quarter of calendar year 2022.

PUBLIC NOTICE:

The Department shall give public notice that a draft permit has been prepared and its issuance is pending. Additionally, public notice will be issued if a public hearing is to be held because of a significant degree of interest in and water quality concerns related to a draft permit. No public notice is required when a request for a permit modification or termination is denied; however, the requester and permittee must be notified of the denial in writing. The Department must issue public notice of a pending operating permit or of a new or reissued statewide general permit. The public comment period is the length of time not less than 30 days following the date of the public notice which interested persons may submit written comments about the proposed permit. For persons wanting to submit comments regarding this proposed operating permit, then please refer to the Public Notice page located at the front of this draft operating permit. The Public Notice page gives direction on how and where to submit appropriate comments.

- The Public Notice period for this operating permit was from April 28, 2017 to May 30, 2017. Responses to the Public Notice of this operating permit warranted the modification of the conditions of this permit. The following errors were corrected:

- The third sentence in the Facility Performance History of the Fact Sheet was missing the citation for the 2015 calendar year. The missing year was added to the sentence.
- The Fact Sheet showed the incorrect removal efficiency for BOD and TSS. The Fact Sheet was changed to show 65% removal efficiency.
- Permitted Features SM1 and SM2 had an incorrect reference to Special Condition #21 and were corrected to reference Special Condition #19.
- The sample types for Total Phosphorus and Total Nitrogen in the draft permit and Fact Sheet were inconsistent. The permit was changed to references grab samples.
- The sample types for metals and Bis (2-ethylhexyl) phthalate tests were inconsistent in the draft permit and Fact Sheet. The permit was changed to references grab samples.
- The Acute and Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity tests had incorrect references to Special Condition #22 and #23 respectfully. The permit was corrected to show a reference to Special Condition #20 and #21.

DATE OF FACT SHEET: APRIL 21, 2017

COMPLETED BY:

**BRANT FARRIS, ENVIRONMENTAL SPECIALIST III
MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM
OPERATING PERMITS SECTION - DOMESTIC WASTEWATER UNIT
(660) 385-8019
brant.farris@dnr.mo.gov**

Appendices

APPENDIX - CLASSIFICATION WORKSHEET:

ITEM	POINTS POSSIBLE	POINTS ASSIGNED
Maximum Population Equivalent (P.E.) served (Max 10 pts.)	1 pt./10,000 PE or major fraction thereof.	5
Maximum: 10 pt Design Flow (avg. day) or peak month; use greater (Max 10 pts.)	1 pt. / MGD or major fraction thereof.	3
EFFLUENT DISCHARGE RECEIVING WATER SENSITIVITY:		
Missouri or Mississippi River	0	
All other stream discharges except to losing streams and stream reaches supporting whole body contact	1	
Discharge to lake or reservoir outside of designated whole body contact recreational area	2	
Discharge to losing stream, or stream, lake or reservoir area supporting whole body contact recreation	3	3
PRELIMINARY TREATMENT - Headworks		
Screening and/or comminution	3	3
Grit removal	3	3
Plant pumping of main flow (lift station at the headworks)	3	
PRIMARY TREATMENT		
Primary clarifiers	5	5
Combined sedimentation/digestion	5	
Chemical addition (except chlorine, enzymes)	4	
REQUIRED LABORATORY CONTROL – performed by plant personnel (highest level only)		
Push – button or visual methods for simple test such as pH, Settleable solids	3	
Additional procedures such as DO, COD, BOD, titrations, solids, volatile content	5	
More advanced determinations such as BOD seeding procedures, fecal coliform, nutrients, total oils, phenols, etc.	7	7
Highly sophisticated instrumentation, such as atomic absorption and gas chromatograph	10	
ALTERNATIVE FATE OF EFFLUENT		
Direct reuse or recycle of effluent	6	
Land Disposal – low rate	3	
High rate	5	
Overland flow	4	
Total from page ONE (1)	----	29

APPENDIX - CLASSIFICATION WORKSHEET (CONTINUED):

ITEM	POINTS POSSIBLE	POINTS ASSIGNED
VARIATION IN RAW WASTE (highest level only) (DMR exceedances and Design Flow exceedances)		
Variation do not exceed those normally or typically expected	0	
Recurring deviations or excessive variations of 100 to 200 % in strength and/or flow	2	2
Recurring deviations or excessive variations of more than 200 % in strength and/or flow	4	
Raw wastes subject to toxic waste discharge	6	
SECONDARY TREATMENT		
Trickling filter and other fixed film media with secondary clarifiers	10	10
Activated sludge with secondary clarifiers (including extended aeration and oxidation ditches)	15	
Stabilization ponds without aeration	5	
Aerated lagoon	8	
Advanced Waste Treatment Polishing Pond	2	
Chemical/physical – without secondary	15	
Chemical/physical – following secondary	10	
Biological or chemical/biological	12	
Carbon regeneration	4	
DISINFECTION		
Chlorination or comparable	5	
Dechlorination	2	
On-site generation of disinfectant (except UV light)	5	
UV light	4	4
SOLIDS HANDLING - SLUDGE		
Solids Handling Thickening	5	
Anaerobic digestion	10	
Aerobic digestion	6	
Evaporative sludge drying	2	
Mechanical dewatering	8	8
Solids reduction (incineration, wet oxidation)	12	12
Land application	6	6
Total from page TWO (2)	---	42
Total from page ONE (1)	---	29
Grand Total	---	71

- A: 71 points and greater
- B: 51 points – 70 points
- C: 26 points – 50 points
- D: 0 points – 25 points

APPENDIX – RPA RESULTS:

Parameter	CMC*	RWC Acute*	CCC*	RWC Chronic*	n**	Range max/min	CV***	MF	RP Yes/No
Total Ammonia as Nitrogen (Summer) mg/L	12.1	1.81	1.5	1.81	144.00	1.7/0.3	0.59	1.06	YES
Total Ammonia as Nitrogen (Winter) mg/L	12.1	33.66	3.1	33.66	122.00	23/0.3	1.85	1.46	YES

N/A – Not Applicable

* - Units are (µg/L) unless otherwise noted.

** - If the number of samples is 10 or greater, then the CV value must be used in the WQBEL for the applicable constituent. If the number of samples is < 10, then the default CV value must be used in the WQBEL for the applicable constituent.

*** - Coefficient of Variation (CV) is calculated by dividing the Standard Deviation of the sample set by the Mean of the same sample set.

RWC – Receiving Water Concentration. It is the concentration of a toxicant or the parameter toxicity in the receiving water after mixing (if applicable).

n – Is the number of samples.

MF – Multiplying Factor. 99% Confidence Level and 99% Probability Basis.

RP – Reasonable Potential. It is where an effluent is projected or calculated to cause an excursion above a water quality standard based on a number of factors including, as a minimum, the four factors listed in 40 CFR 122.44(d)(1)(ii).

Reasonable Potential Analysis is conducted as per (TSD, EPA/505/2-90-001, Section 3.3.2). A more detailed version including calculations of this RPA is available upon request.

APPENDIX – COST ANALYSIS FOR COMPLIANCE:

**Missouri Department of Natural Resources
Water Protection Program
Cost Analysis for Compliance
(In accordance with RSMo 644.145)**

**Macon WWTP, Permit Renewal
City of Macon
Missouri State Operating Permit #MO-0023221**

Section 644.145 RSMo requires the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) to make a “finding of affordability” when “issuing permits under” or “enforcing provisions of” state or federal clean water laws “pertaining to any portion of a combined or separate sanitary sewer system for publicly-owned treatment works.”

This cost analysis is based on data available to the Department as provided by the permittee and data obtained from readily available sources. For the most accurate analysis, it is essential that the permittee provides the Department with current information about the City’s financial and socioeconomic situation. The financial questionnaire available to permittees on the DNR website (<http://dnr.mo.gov/forms/780-2511-f.pdf>) should have been submitted with the permit renewal application. If it was not received with the renewal application, the Department sent a request to complete it with the welcome letter.

The Department is required to issue a permit with final effluent limits in accordance with 644.051.1.(1) RSMo, 644.051.1.(2) RSMo, and the Clean Water Act. The practical result of this analysis is to incorporate a compliance schedule into the permit in order to mitigate adverse impact to distressed populations resulting from new costs for the wastewater treatment facility.

Facility Description:

Residential Connections:	<u>2,222</u>
Commercial Connections:	<u>342</u>
Industrial Connections:	<u>1</u>
Total Connections for this facility:	<u>2,565</u>

New Permit Requirements:

The permit requires compliance with new sampling requirements for Total Nitrogen, Total Phosphorus, Total Recoverable Aluminum, Total Recoverable Antimony, Total Recoverable Arsenic, Total Recoverable Beryllium, Total Recoverable Cadmium, Total Recoverable Copper, Cyanide, Total Recoverable Iron, Total Recoverable Lead, Total Recoverable Mercury, Total Recoverable Nickel, Total Recoverable Selenium, Total Recoverable Silver, Total Recoverable Thallium, Total Recoverable Zinc, and Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate. The permit also requires the development of a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) and a Chronic Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) test.

Anticipated Costs Associated with Complying with the New Requirements:

The total cost estimated for new sampling requirements is \$4,500 annually. The total cost estimated for developing a SWPPP is \$2,000 annually. The total cost estimated for conducting a Chronic WET test is \$310 annually. The total estimated cost for the new requirements is \$6,810 annually. This cost, if financed through user fees, might cost each household an extra \$0.22¹ per month. A community sets their user rates based on several factors. The percentage of the current user rate that is available to cover new debt is unknown to the Department.


(1) A community’s financial capability and ability to raise or secure necessary funding;

Due to the minimal cost associated with this new permit requirement, the Department anticipates the City of Macon has the means to raise \$6,810 annually.

(2) Affordability of pollution control options for the individuals or households at or below the median household income level of the community;

The total cost estimated for the new sampling requirements, SWPPP, and Chronic WET test is \$6,810 annually. This cost, if financed through user fees, might cost each household an extra \$0.22 per month. This would make the additional cost per household as a percent of median household income (MHI) 0.007%² based on the City’s MHI of \$35,580. Due to the minimal cost associated with this new requirement, the Department anticipates an extremely low to no rate increase will be necessary that could impact individuals or households of the community.

APPENDIX – ALTERNATIVE: Facility Diagram



(6) An assessment of other community investments and operating costs relating to environmental improvements and public health protection;

The City reported that planning is underway to address issues identified in the Long Term Control Plan adopted in 2009.

(7) An assessment of factors set forth in the United States Environmental Protection Agency's guidance, including but not limited to the "Combined Sewer Overflow Guidance for Financial Capability Assessment and Schedule Development" that may ease the cost burdens of implementing wet weather control plans, including but not limited to small system considerations, the attainability of water quality standards, and the development of wet weather standards;

The new sampling requirements associated with this permit will not impose a financial burden on the community, nor will the new requirements require the City of Macon to seek funding from an outside source.

(8) An assessment of any other relevant local community economic condition.

The City reported that the community's population has been static for decades. The City also noted that they want to produce effluent that is environmentally friendly, however they are impacted by other factors in the watershed that they cannot control that affects their permit.

The Department contracted with Wichita State University to complete an assessment tool that would allow for predictions on rural Missouri community populations and future sustainability. The purpose of the study is to use a statistical modeling analysis in order to determine factors associated with each rural Missouri community that would predict the future population changes that could occur in each community. A stepwise regression model was applied to 19 factors which were determined as predictors of rural population change in Missouri. The model established a hierarchy of the predicting factors which allowed the model to place a weighted value on each of the factors. A total of 745 rural towns and villages in Missouri received a weighted value for each of the predicting factors. The weighted values for each town / village were then added together to determine an overall decision score. The overall decision scores were then divided into five categories and each town was assigned to a different categorical group based on the overall decision score.

The categorical groups were developed from the range of overall scores across all rural towns and villages within Missouri. The range covers 1,191 score points (-245 to 946).

Based on the assessment tool, the City of Macon has been determined as a category (1) community. This means that the City of Macon could potentially face more challenging socioeconomic circumstances over time and may have significant declines in population in the future. The Department has determined an adequate schedule of compliance that will alleviate the potential financial burdens the City of Macon may face due to the necessary upgrades required to meet the new permit requirements. If your community experiences a decline in population which results in the inability to secure the necessary funding for an upgrade to meet the new requirements within this permit, a modification to the schedule of compliance may be necessary. At that time, please contact the Department and send an application for a modification to the schedule of compliance with justification for the time necessary to comply with this permit.

Conclusion and Finding

As a result of new regulations, the Department is proposing modifications to the current operating permit that may require the permittee to increase sampling, develop a SWPPP, and conduct a Chronic WET test. The Department identified the actions for which cost analysis for compliance is required under Section 644.145 RSMo.

The Department estimates the cost for additional requirements is \$6,810 per year. Should these additional costs be financed through user fees, it may require user fees 0.007% of the community's MHI.

The Department considered the eight (8) criteria presented in subsection 644.145.3 when evaluating the cost associated with the relevant actions. Taking into consideration these criteria, this analysis examined whether the above referenced permit modifications affects the ability of an individual customer or household to pay a utility bill without undue hardship or unreasonable sacrifice in the essential lifestyle or spending patterns of the individual or household. As a result of reviewing the above criteria, the Department hereby finds that the action described above may result in a low burden with regard to the community's overall financial capability and a low financial impact for most individual customers/households; therefore, the new permit requirements are affordable.

(3) An evaluation of the overall costs and environmental benefits of the control technologies;

Nutrient Monitoring

Nutrients are mineral compounds that are required for organisms to grow and thrive. Of the six (6) elemental macronutrients, Nitrogen and Phosphorus are generally not readily available and limit growth of organisms. Excess nitrogen and phosphorus will cause a shift in the ecosystem’s food web. Once excess nitrogen and phosphorus are introduced into a waterbody, some species’ populations will dramatically increase, while other populations will not be able to sustain life. Competition and productivity are two factors in which nutrients can alter aquatic ecosystems and the designated uses of a waterbody. For example, designated uses, such as drinking water sources and recreational uses become impaired when algal blooms take over a waterbody. These blooms can cause foul tastes and odors in the drinking water, unsightly appearance, and fish mortality in the waterbody. Some algae also produce toxins that may cause serious adverse health conditions such as liver damage, tumor promotion, paralysis, and kidney damage. The monitoring requirements for Nitrogen and Phosphorus have been added to the permit to provide data regarding the health of the receiving stream’s aquatic life. A healthy ecosystem is beneficial as it provides reduced impacts on human and aquatic health as well as recreational opportunities.

Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan

Stormwater runoff is water from rain or snowmelt that does not immediately infiltrate into the ground and flows over or through natural or man-made storage or conveyance systems. When undeveloped areas are converted to land uses with impervious surfaces such as buildings, parking lots, and roads, the natural hydrology of the land is altered and can result in increased surface runoff rates, volumes, and pollutant loads. Stormwater runoff picks up industrial pollutants and typically discharges them directly into nearby waterbodies or indirectly via storm sewer systems. Runoff from areas where industrial activities occur can contain toxic pollutants (e.g., heavy metals and organic chemicals) and other pollutants such as trash, debris, and oil and grease, when facility practices allow exposure of industrial materials to stormwater. This increased flow and pollutant load can impair waterbodies, degrade biological habitats, pollute drinking water sources, and cause flooding and hydrologic changes to the receiving water, such as channel erosion. Industrial facilities typically perform a portion of their activities in outdoor areas exposed to the elements. This may include activities such as material storage and handling, vehicle fueling and maintenance, shipping and receiving, and salt storage, all of which can result in pollutants being exposed to precipitation and capable of being carried off in stormwater runoff. Also, facilities may have performed industrial activities outdoors in the past and materials from those activities still remain exposed to precipitation. In addition, accidental spills and leaks, improper waste disposal, and illicit connections to storm sewers may also lead to exposure of pollutants to stormwater.

A SWPPP is a written document that identifies the industrial activities conducted at the site, including any structural control practices, which the industrial facility operator will implement to prevent pollutants from making their way into stormwater runoff. The SWPPP also must include descriptions of other relevant information, such as the physical features of the facility, and procedures for spill prevention, conducting inspections, and training of employees. The SWPPP is intended to be a “living” document, updated as necessary, such that when industrial activities or stormwater control practices are modified or replaced, the SWPPP is similarly revised to reflect these changes.

(4) Inclusion of ongoing costs of operating and maintaining the existing wastewater collection and treatment system, including payments on outstanding debts for wastewater collection and treatment systems when calculating projected rates:

The community reported their outstanding debt for their current wastewater collection and treatment systems to be \$1,830,200. The community reported that each user pays \$40.91 each month, of which \$2.05 is used toward payments on the current outstanding debt.

(5) An inclusion of ways to reduce economic impacts on distressed populations in the community, including but not limited to low and fixed income populations. This requirement includes but is not limited to:


- (a) Allowing adequate time in implementation schedules to mitigate potential adverse impacts on distressed populations resulting from the costs of the improvements and taking into consideration local community economic considerations.
- (b) Allowing for reasonable accommodations for regulated entities when inflexible standards and fines would impose a disproportionate financial hardship in light of the environmental benefits to be gained.

Socioeconomic Data^{3-6:}

Potentially Distressed Populations – City of Macon	
Total Population (2015)	5,468
Percent Population Growth/Decline (2000-2015)	-1.3%
2014 MHI (in First-Half-of-2016 Dollar)	\$35,580
Percent Change in MHI (2000-2015)	-3.58%
Median Age (2015)	41.4
Percent Change in Median Age (2000-2015)	-1.7%
Unemployment Rate (2015)	10.3%
Percent of Households in Poverty (2015)	20.1%
Percent of Households Receiving Food Stamps (2015)	9.8%

References:

1. $((\$6,810/2,565)/12 \text{ months}) = \0.22
2. $(\$0.22/(\$35,580/12))*100\% = 0.007\%$
3. U.S. Census Bureau. 2010-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, B23025: Employment Status for the Population 16 Years and Over - Universe: Population 16 years and Over.
http://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ACS_14_5YR_B23025&prodType=table.
4. U.S. Census Bureau. 2010-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table B19013: Median Household Income in the Past 12 Months.
http://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ACS_14_5YR_B19013&prodType=table
5. (1) For United States, U.S. Census Bureau (2002) 2000 Census of Population and Housing, Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics, PHC-1-1 Part 1. United States Summary, Table 1. Age and Sex: 2000, Washington, DC., Page 2.
<https://www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/phc-1-1-pt1.pdf>.
6. (2) For Missouri State, U.S. Census Bureau (2002) 2000 Census of Population and Housing, Summary Population and Housing Characteristics, PHC-1-27, Missouri, Table 2. Age and Sex: 2000, Washington, DC., Pages 64-92.
<http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/phc-2-27-pt1.pdf>
7. U.S. Census Bureau. 2010-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table S1701: Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months.
http://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ACS_14_5YR_S1701&prodType=table.
8. U.S. Census Bureau. 2010-2015 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, Table B22003: Receipt of Food Stamps/SNAP in the Past 12 Months by Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months for Households - Universe: Households.
http://factfinder.census.gov/faces/tableservices/jsf/pages/productview.xhtml?pid=ACS_14_5YR_B22003&prodType=table



STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
REVISED
AUGUST 1, 2014

These Standard Conditions incorporate permit conditions as required by 40 CFR 122.41 or other applicable state statutes or regulations. These minimum conditions apply unless superseded by requirements specified in the permit.

Part I – General Conditions


Section A – Sampling, Monitoring, and Recording

1. **Sampling Requirements.**
 - a. Samples and measurements taken for the purpose of monitoring shall be representative of the monitored activity.
 - b. All samples shall be taken at the outfall(s) or Missouri Department of Natural Resources (Department) approved sampling location(s), and unless specified, before the effluent joins or is diluted by any other body of water or substance.
2. **Monitoring Requirements.**
 - a. Records of monitoring information shall include:
 - i. The date, exact place, and time of sampling or measurements;
 - ii. The individual(s) who performed the sampling or measurements;
 - iii. The date(s) analyses were performed;
 - iv. The individual(s) who performed the analyses;
 - v. The analytical techniques or methods used; and
 - vi. The results of such analyses.
 - b. If the permittee monitors any pollutant more frequently than required by the permit at the location specified in the permit using test procedures approved under 40 CFR Part 136, or another method required for an industry-specific waste stream under 40 CFR subchapters N or O, the results of such monitoring shall be included in the calculation and reported to the Department with the discharge monitoring report data (DMR) submitted to the Department pursuant to Section B, paragraph 7.
3. **Sample and Monitoring Calculations.** Calculations for all sample and monitoring results which require averaging of measurements shall utilize an arithmetic mean unless otherwise specified in the permit.
4. **Test Procedures.** The analytical and sampling methods used shall conform to the reference methods listed in 10 CSR 20-7.015 unless alternates are approved by the Department. The facility shall use sufficiently sensitive analytical methods for detecting, identifying, and measuring the concentrations of pollutants. The facility shall ensure that the selected methods are able to quantify the presence of pollutants in a given discharge at concentrations that are low enough to determine compliance with Water Quality Standards in 10 CSR 20-7.031 or effluent limitations unless provisions in the permit allow for other alternatives. A method is "sufficiently sensitive" when; 1) the method minimum level is at or below the level of the applicable water quality criterion for the pollutant or, 2) the method minimum level is above the applicable water quality criterion, but the amount of pollutant in a facility's discharge is high enough that the method detects and quantifies the level of pollutant in the discharge, or 3) the method has the lowest minimum level of the analytical methods approved under 10 CSR 20-7.015. These methods are also required for parameters that are listed as monitoring only, as the data collected may be used to determine if limitations need to be established. A permittee is responsible for working with their contractors to ensure that the analysis performed is sufficiently sensitive.
5. **Record Retention.** Except for records of monitoring information required by the permit related to the permittee's sewage sludge use and disposal activities, which shall be retained for a period of at least five (5) years (or longer as required by 40 CFR part 503), the permittee shall retain records of all monitoring information, including all calibration and maintenance records and all original strip chart recordings for continuous monitoring instrumentation, copies of all reports required by the permit, and records of all data used to complete the application for the permit, for a period of at least three (3) years from the date of the sample, measurement, report or application. This period may be extended by request of the Department at any time.

6. **Illegal Activities.**
 - a. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained under the permit shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000, or by imprisonment for not more than two (2) years, or both. If a conviction of a person is for a violation committed after a first conviction of such person under this paragraph, punishment is a fine of not more than \$20,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than four (4) years, or both.
 - b. The Missouri Clean Water Law provides that any person who falsifies, tampers with, or knowingly renders inaccurate any monitoring device or method required to be maintained pursuant to sections 644.006 to 644.141 shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000, or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months, or by both. Second and successive convictions for violation under this paragraph by any person shall be punished by a fine of not more than \$50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than two (2) years, or both.

Section B – Reporting Requirements

1. **Planned Changes.**
 - a. The permittee shall give notice to the Department as soon as possible of any planned physical alterations or additions to the permitted facility when:
 - i. The alteration or addition to a permitted facility may meet one of the criteria for determining whether a facility is a new source in 40 CFR 122.29(b); or
 - ii. The alteration or addition could significantly change the nature or increase the quantity of pollutants discharged. This notification applies to pollutants which are subject neither to effluent limitations in the permit, nor to notification requirements under 40 CFR 122.42;
 - iii. The alteration or addition results in a significant change in the permittee's sludge use or disposal practices, and such alteration, addition, or change may justify the application of permit conditions that are different from or absent in the existing permit, including notification of additional use or disposal sites not reported during the permit application process or not reported pursuant to an approved land application plan;
 - iv. Any facility expansions, production increases, or process modifications which will result in a new or substantially different discharge or sludge characteristics must be reported to the Department 60 days before the facility or process modification begins. Notification may be accomplished by application for a new permit. If the discharge does not violate effluent limitations specified in the permit, the facility is to submit a notice to the Department of the changed discharge at least 30 days before such changes. The Department may require a construction permit and/or permit modification as a result of the proposed changes at the facility.
2. **Non-compliance Reporting.**
 - a. The permittee shall report any noncompliance which may endanger health or the environment. Relevant information shall be provided orally or via the current electronic method approved by the Department, within 24 hours from the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances, and shall be reported to the appropriate Regional Office during normal business hours or the Environmental Emergency Response hotline at 573-634-2436 outside of normal business hours. A written submission shall also be provided within five (5) business days of the time the permittee becomes aware of the circumstances. The written submission shall contain a description of the noncompliance and its cause; the period of noncompliance, including exact dates and times, and if the noncompliance has not been corrected, the anticipated time it is expected to continue; and steps taken or planned to reduce, eliminate, and prevent recurrence of the noncompliance.



STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
REVISED
AUGUST 1, 2014

- b. The following shall be included as information which must be reported within 24 hours under this paragraph.
 - i. Any unanticipated bypass which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit.
 - ii. Any upset which exceeds any effluent limitation in the permit.
 - iii. Violation of a maximum daily discharge limitation for any of the pollutants listed by the Department in the permit required to be reported within 24 hours.
 - c. The Department may waive the written report on a case-by-case basis for reports under paragraph 2. b. of this section if the oral report has been received within 24 hours.
3. **Anticipated Noncompliance.** The permittee shall give advance notice to the Department of any planned changes in the permitted facility or activity which may result in noncompliance with permit requirements. The notice shall be submitted to the Department 60 days prior to such changes or activity.
 4. **Compliance Schedules.** Reports of compliance or noncompliance with, or any progress reports on, interim and final requirements contained in any compliance schedule of the permit shall be submitted no later than 14 days following each schedule date. The report shall provide an explanation for the instance of noncompliance and a proposed schedule or anticipated date, for achieving compliance with the compliance schedule requirement.
 5. **Other Noncompliance.** The permittee shall report all instances of noncompliance not reported under paragraphs 2, 3, and 6 of this section, at the time monitoring reports are submitted. The reports shall contain the information listed in paragraph 2. a. of this section.
 6. **Other Information.** Where the permittee becomes aware that it failed to submit any relevant facts in a permit application, or submitted incorrect information in a permit application or in any report to the Department, it shall promptly submit such facts or information.
 7. **Discharge Monitoring Reports.**
 - a. Monitoring results shall be reported at the intervals specified in the permit.
 - b. Monitoring results must be reported to the Department via the current method approved by the Department, unless the permittee has been granted a waiver from using the method. If the permittee has been granted a waiver, the permittee must use forms provided by the Department.
 - c. Monitoring results shall be reported to the Department no later than the 28th day of the month following the end of the reporting period.


Section C – Bypass/Upset Requirements

1. **Definitions.**
 - a. *Bypass*: the intentional diversion of waste streams from any portion of a treatment facility, except in the case of blending.
 - b. *Severe Property Damage*: substantial physical damage to property, damage to the treatment facilities which causes them to become inoperable, or substantial and permanent loss of natural resources which can reasonably be expected to occur in the absence of a bypass. Severe property damage does not mean economic loss caused by delays in production.
 - c. *Upset*: an exceptional incident in which there is unintentional and temporary noncompliance with technology based permit effluent limitations because of factors beyond the reasonable control of the permittee. An upset does not include noncompliance to the extent caused by operational error, improperly designed treatment facilities, inadequate treatment facilities, lack of preventive maintenance, or careless or improper operation.
2. **Bypass Requirements.**
 - a. Bypass not exceeding limitations. The permittee may allow any bypass to occur which does not cause effluent limitations to be exceeded, but only if it also is for essential maintenance to assure efficient operation. These bypasses are not subject to the provisions of paragraphs 2. b. and 2. c. of this section.

- b. Notice.
 - i. Anticipated bypass. If the permittee knows in advance of the need for a bypass, it shall submit prior notice, if possible at least 10 days before the date of the bypass.
 - ii. Unanticipated bypass. The permittee shall submit notice of an unanticipated bypass as required in Section B – Reporting Requirements, paragraph 5 (24-hour notice).
 - c. Prohibition of bypass.
 - i. Bypass is prohibited, and the Department may take enforcement action against a permittee for bypass, unless:
 1. Bypass was unavoidable to prevent loss of life, personal injury, or severe property damage;
 2. There were no feasible alternatives to the bypass, such as the use of auxiliary treatment facilities, retention of untreated wastes, or maintenance during normal periods of equipment downtime. This condition is not satisfied if adequate back-up equipment should have been installed in the exercise of reasonable engineering judgment to prevent a bypass which occurred during normal periods of equipment downtime or preventive maintenance; and
 3. The permittee submitted notices as required under paragraph 2. b. of this section.
 - ii. The Department may approve an anticipated bypass, after considering its adverse effects, if the Department determines that it will meet the three (3) conditions listed above in paragraph 2. c. i. of this section.
3. **Upset Requirements.**
 - a. Effect of an upset. An upset constitutes an affirmative defense to an action brought for noncompliance with such technology based permit effluent limitations if the requirements of paragraph 3. b. of this section are met. No determination made during administrative review of claims that noncompliance was caused by upset, and before an action for noncompliance, is final administrative action subject to judicial review.
 - b. Conditions necessary for a demonstration of upset. A permittee who wishes to establish the affirmative defense of upset shall demonstrate, through properly signed, contemporaneous operating logs, or other relevant evidence that:
 - i. An upset occurred and that the permittee can identify the cause(s) of the upset;
 - ii. The permitted facility was at the time being properly operated; and
 - iii. The permittee submitted notice of the upset as required in Section B – Reporting Requirements, paragraph 2. b. ii. (24-hour notice).
 - iv. The permittee complied with any remedial measures required under Section D – Administrative Requirements, paragraph 4.
 - c. Burden of proof. In any enforcement proceeding, the permittee seeking to establish the occurrence of an upset has the burden of proof.


Section D – Administrative Requirements

1. **Duty to Comply.** The permittee must comply with all conditions of this permit. Any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Missouri Clean Water Law and Federal Clean Water Act and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or denial of a permit renewal application.
 - a. The permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under section 307(a) of the Federal Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants and with standards for sewage sludge use or disposal established under section 405(d) of the CWA within the time provided in the regulations that establish these standards or prohibitions or standards for sewage sludge use or disposal, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.
 - b. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who violates section 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of the Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any such sections in a permit issued under section 402, or any requirement imposed in a pretreatment program approved under sections 402(a)(3) or 402(b)(8) of the Act, is subject to a civil penalty not to exceed \$25,000 per day for each violation. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who negligently violates sections 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318, or 405 of the Act, or any condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of the Act, or any requirement




STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
REVISED
AUGUST 1, 2014

- imposed in a pretreatment program approved under section 402(a)(3) or 402(b)(8) of the Act, is subject to criminal penalties of \$2,500 to \$25,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment of not more than one (1) year, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a negligent violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than \$50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment of not more than two (2) years, or both. Any person who knowingly violates such sections, or such conditions or limitations is subject to criminal penalties of \$5,000 to \$50,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment for not more than three (3) years, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a knowing violation, a person shall be subject to criminal penalties of not more than \$100,000 per day of violation, or imprisonment of not more than six (6) years, or both. Any person who knowingly violates section 301, 302, 303, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of the Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of the Act, and who knows at that time that he thereby places another person in imminent danger of death or serious bodily injury, shall, upon conviction, be subject to a fine of not more than \$250,000 or imprisonment of not more than 15 years, or both. In the case of a second or subsequent conviction for a knowing endangerment violation, a person shall be subject to a fine of not more than \$500,000 or by imprisonment of not more than 30 years, or both. An organization, as defined in section 309(c)(3)(B)(iii) of the CWA, shall, upon conviction of violating the imminent danger provision, be subject to a fine of not more than \$1,000,000 and can be fined up to \$2,000,000 for second or subsequent convictions.
- c. Any person may be assessed an administrative penalty by the EPA Director for violating section 301, 302, 306, 307, 308, 318 or 405 of this Act, or any permit condition or limitation implementing any of such sections in a permit issued under section 402 of this Act. Administrative penalties for Class I violations are not to exceed \$10,000 per violation, with the maximum amount of any Class I penalty assessed not to exceed \$25,000. Penalties for Class II violations are not to exceed \$10,000 per day for each day during which the violation continues, with the maximum amount of any Class II penalty not to exceed \$125,000.
- d. It is unlawful for any person to cause or permit any discharge of water contaminants from any water contaminant or point source located in Missouri in violation of sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law, or any standard, rule or regulation promulgated by the commission. In the event the commission or the director determines that any provision of sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law or standard, rules, limitations or regulations promulgated pursuant thereto, or permits issued by, or any final abatement order, other order, or determination made by the commission or the director, or any filing requirement pursuant to sections 644.006 to 644.141 of the Missouri Clean Water Law or any other provision which this state is required to enforce pursuant to any federal water pollution control act, is being, was, or is in imminent danger of being violated, the commission or director may cause to have instituted a civil action in any court of competent jurisdiction for the injunctive relief to prevent any such violation or further violation or for the assessment of a penalty not to exceed \$10,000 per day for each day, or part thereof, the violation occurred and continues to occur, or both, as the court deems proper. Any person who willfully or negligently commits any violation in this paragraph shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not less than \$2,500 nor more than \$25,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than one year, or both. Second and successive convictions for violation of the same provision of this paragraph by any person shall be punished by a fine of not more than \$50,000 per day of violation, or by imprisonment for not more than two (2) years, or both.
2. **Duty to Reapply.**
- a. If the permittee wishes to continue an activity regulated by this permit after the expiration date of this permit, the permittee must apply for and obtain a new permit.
- b. A permittee with a currently effective site-specific permit shall submit an application for renewal at least 180 days before the expiration date of the existing permit, unless permission for a later date has been granted by the Department. (The Department shall not grant permission for applications to be submitted later than the expiration date of the existing permit.)
- c. A permittees with currently effective general permit shall submit an application for renewal at least 30 days before the existing permit expires, unless the permittee has been notified by the Department that an earlier application must be made. The Department may grant permission for a later submission date. (The Department shall not grant permission for applications to be submitted later than the expiration date of the existing permit.)
3. **Need to Halt or Reduce Activity Not a Defense.** It shall not be a defense for a permittee in an enforcement action that it would have been necessary to halt or reduce the permitted activity in order to maintain compliance with the conditions of this permit.
4. **Duty to Mitigate.** The permittee shall take all reasonable steps to minimize or prevent any discharge or sludge use or disposal in violation of this permit which has a reasonable likelihood of adversely affecting human health or the environment.
5. **Proper Operation and Maintenance.** The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this permit. Proper operation and maintenance also includes adequate laboratory controls and appropriate quality assurance procedures. This provision requires the operation of back-up or auxiliary facilities or similar systems which are installed by a permittee only when the operation is necessary to achieve compliance with the conditions of the permit.
6. **Permit Actions.**
- a. Subject to compliance with statutory requirements of the Law and Regulations and applicable Court Order, this permit may be modified, suspended, or revoked in whole or in part during its term for cause including, but not limited to, the following:
- i. Violations of any terms or conditions of this permit or the law;
- ii. Having obtained this permit by misrepresentation or failure to disclose fully any relevant facts;
- iii. A change in any circumstances or conditions that requires either a temporary or permanent reduction or elimination of the authorized discharge; or
- iv. Any reason set forth in the Law or Regulations.
- b. The filing of a request by the permittee for a permit modification, revocation and reissuance, or termination, or a notification of planned changes or anticipated noncompliance does not stay any permit condition.
7. **Permit Transfer.**
- a. Subject to 10 CSR 20-6.010, an operating permit may be transferred upon submission to the Department of an application to transfer signed by the existing owner and the new owner, unless prohibited by the terms of the permit. Until such time the permit is officially transferred, the original permittee remains responsible for complying with the terms and conditions of the existing permit.
- b. The Department may require modification or revocation and reissuance of the permit to change the name of the permittee and incorporate such other requirements as may be necessary under the Missouri Clean Water Law or the Federal Clean Water Act.
- c. The Department, within 30 days of receipt of the application, shall notify the new permittee of its intent to revoke or reissue or transfer the permit.
8. **Toxic Pollutants.** The permittee shall comply with effluent standards or prohibitions established under section 307(a) of the Federal Clean Water Act for toxic pollutants and with standards for sewage sludge use or disposal established under section 405(d) of the Federal Clean Water Act within the time provided in the regulations that establish these standards or prohibitions or standards for sewage sludge use or disposal, even if the permit has not yet been modified to incorporate the requirement.
9. **Property Rights.** This permit does not convey any property rights of any sort, or any exclusive privilege.



STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
REVISED
AUGUST 1, 2014

10. **Duty to Provide Information.** The permittee shall furnish to the Department, within a reasonable time, any information which the Department may request to determine whether cause exists for modifying, revoking and reissuing, or terminating this permit or to determine compliance with this permit. The permittee shall also furnish to the Department upon request, copies of records required to be kept by this permit.
11. **Inspection and Entry.** The permittee shall allow the Department, or an authorized representative (including an authorized contractor acting as a representative of the Department), upon presentation of credentials and other documents as may be required by law, to:
 - a. Enter upon the permittee's premises where a regulated facility or activity is located or conducted, or where records must be kept under the conditions of the permit;
 - b. Have access to and copy, at reasonable times, any records that must be kept under the conditions of this permit;
 - c. Inspect at reasonable times any facilities, equipment (including monitoring and control equipment), practices, or operations regulated or required under this permit; and
 - d. Sample or monitor at reasonable times, for the purposes of assuring permit compliance or as otherwise authorized by the Federal Clean Water Act or Missouri Clean Water Law, any substances or parameters at any location.
12. **Closure of Treatment Facilities.**
 - a. Persons who cease operation or plan to cease operation of waste, wastewater, and sludge handling and treatment facilities shall close the facilities in accordance with a closure plan approved by the Department.
 - b. Operating Permits under 10 CSR 20-6.010 or under 10 CSR 20-6.015 are required until all waste, wastewater, and sludges have been disposed of in accordance with the closure plan approved by the Department and any disturbed areas have been properly stabilized. Disturbed areas will be considered stabilized when perennial vegetation, pavement, or structures using permanent materials cover all areas that have been disturbed. Vegetative cover, if used, shall be at least 70% plant density over 100% of the disturbed area.
13. **Signatory Requirement.**
 - a. All permit applications, reports required by the permit, or information requested by the Department shall be signed and certified. (See 40 CFR 122.22 and 10 CSR 20-6.010)
 - b. The Federal Clean Water Act provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation, or certification in any record or other document submitted or required to be maintained under this permit, including monitoring reports or reports of compliance or non-compliance shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than \$10,000 per violation, or by imprisonment for not more than six (6) months per violation, or by both.
 - c. The Missouri Clean Water Law provides that any person who knowingly makes any false statement, representation or certification in any application, record, report, plan, or other document filed or required to be maintained pursuant to sections 644.006 to 644.141 shall, upon conviction, be punished by a fine of not more than ten thousand dollars, or by imprisonment for not more than six months, or by both.
14. **Severability.** The provisions of the permit are severable, and if any provision of the permit, or the application of any provision of the permit to any circumstance, is held invalid, the application of such provision to other circumstances, and the remainder of the permit, shall not be affected thereby.



STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
REVISED
MAY 1, 2013

PART II - SPECIAL CONDITIONS – PUBLICLY OWNED
TREATMENT WORKS
SECTION A – INDUSTRIAL USERS

1. Definitions

Definitions as set forth in the Missouri Clean Water Laws and approved by the Missouri Clean Water Commission shall apply to terms used herein.

Significant Industrial User (SIU). Except as provided in the *General Pretreatment Regulation* 10 CSR 20-6.100, the term Significant Industrial User means:

1. All Industrial Users subject to Categorical Pretreatment Standards; and
2. Any other Industrial User that: discharges an average of 25,000 gallons per day or more of process wastewater to the Publicly-Owned Treatment Works (POTW) (excluding sanitary, noncontact cooling and boiler blowdown wastewater); contributes a process wastestream which makes up 5 percent or more of the average dry weather hydraulic or organic capacity of the POTW treatment plant; or is designated as such by the Control Authority on the basis that the Industrial User has a reasonable potential for adversely affecting the POTW's or for violating any Pretreatment Standard or requirement.

Clean Water Act (CWA) is the the federal Clean Water Act of 1972, 33 U.S.C. § 1251 et seq. (2002).

2. Identification of Industrial Discharges

Pursuant to 40 CFR 122.44(j)(1), all POTWs shall identify, in terms of character and volume of pollutants, any Significant Industrial Users discharging to the POTW subject to Pretreatment Standards under section 307(b) of the CWA and 40 CFR 403.

3. Application Information

Applications for renewal or modification of this permit must contain the information about industrial discharges to the POTW pursuant to 40 CFR 122.21(j)(6)

4. Notice to the Department

Pursuant to 40 CFR 122.42(b), all POTWs must provide adequate notice of the following:

1. Any new introduction of pollutants into the POTW from an indirect discharger which would be subject to section 301 or 306 of CWA if it were directly discharging these pollutants; and
2. Any substantial change into the volume or character of pollutants being introduced into that POTW by a source introducing pollutants into the POTW at the time of issuance of the permit.
3. For purposes of this paragraph, adequate notice shall include information on:
 - i. the quality and quantity of effluent introduced into the POTW, and
 - ii. any anticipated impact of the change on the quantity or quality of effluent to be discharged from the POTW.

For POTWs without an approved pretreatment program, the notice of industrial discharges which was not included in the permit application shall be made as soon as practicable. For POTWs with an approved pretreatment program, notice is to be included in the annual pretreatment report required in the special conditions of this permit. Notice may be sent to:

Missouri Department of Natural Resources
Water Protection Program
Attn: Pretreatment Coordinator
P.O. Box 176
Jefferson City, MO 65102



**STANDARD CONDITIONS FOR NPDES PERMITS
ISSUED BY
THE MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
MISSOURI CLEAN WATER COMMISSION
March 1, 2015**

**PART III – SLUDGE AND BIOSOLIDS FROM DOMESTIC AND INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATER
TREATMENT FACILITIES**

SECTION A – GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

1. This permit pertains to sludge requirements under the Missouri Clean Water Law and regulation for domestic wastewater and industrial process wastewater. This permit also incorporates applicable federal sludge disposal requirements under 40 CFR 503 for domestic wastewater. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has principal authority for permitting and enforcement of the federal sludge regulations under 40 CFR 503 for domestic wastewater. EPA has reviewed and accepted these standard sludge conditions. EPA may choose to issue a separate sludge addendum to this permit or a separate federal sludge permit at their discretion to further address the federal requirements.
2. These PART III Standard Conditions apply only to sludge and biosolids generated at domestic wastewater treatment facilities, including public owned treatment works (POTW), privately owned facilities and sludge or biosolids generated at industrial facilities.
3. Sludge and Biosolids Use and Disposal Practices:
 - a. The permittee is authorized to operate the sludge and biosolids treatment, storage, use, and disposal facilities listed in the facility description of this permit.
 - b. The permittee shall not exceed the design sludge volume listed in the facility description and shall not use sludge disposal methods that are not listed in the facility description, without prior approval of the permitting authority.
 - c. The permittee is authorized to operate the storage, treatment or generating sites listed in the Facility Description section of this permit.
4. Sludge Received from other Facilities:
 - a. Permittees may accept domestic wastewater sludge from other facilities including septic tank pumpings from residential sources as long as the design sludge volume is not exceeded and the treatment facility performance is not impaired.
 - b. The permittee shall obtain a signed statement from the sludge generator or hauler that certifies the type and source of the sludge
5. These permit requirements do not supersede nor remove liability for compliance with county and other local ordinances.
6. These permit requirements do not supersede nor remove liability for compliance with other environmental regulations such as odor emissions under the Missouri Air Pollution Control Law and regulations.
7. This permit may (after due process) be modified, or alternatively revoked and reissued, to comply with any applicable sludge disposal standard or limitation issued or approved under Section 405(d) of the Clean Water Act or under Chapter 644 RSMo.
8. In addition to STANDARD CONDITIONS, the Department may include sludge limitations in the special conditions portion or other sections of a site specific permit.
9. Alternate Limits in the Site Specific Permit.

Where deemed appropriate, the Department may require an individual site specific permit in order to authorize alternate limitations:

 - a. A site specific permit must be obtained for each operating location, including application sites.
 - b. To request a site specific permit, an individual permit application, permit fee, and supporting documents shall be submitted for each operating location. This shall include a detailed sludge/biosolids management plan or engineering report.
10. Exceptions to these Standard Conditions may be authorized on a case-by-case basis by the Department, as follows:
 - a. The Department will prepare a permit modification and follow permit notice provisions as applicable under 10 CSR 20-6.020, 40 CFR 124.10, and 40 CFR 501.15(a)(2)(ix)(E). This includes notification of the owner of the property located adjacent to each land application site, where appropriate.
 - b. Exceptions cannot be granted where prohibited by the federal sludge regulations under 40 CFR 503.

SECTION B – DEFINITIONS

1. Best Management Practices include agronomic loading rates, soil conservation practices and other site restrictions.
2. Biosolids means organic fertilizer or soil amendment produced by the treatment of domestic wastewater sludge.
3. Biosolids land application facility is a facility where biosolids are spread onto the land at agronomic rates for production of food or fiber. The facility includes any structures necessary to store the biosolids until soil, weather, and crop conditions are favorable for land application.
4. Class A biosolids means a material that has met the Class A pathogen reduction requirements or equivalent treatment by a Process to Further Reduce Pathogens (PFRP) in accordance with 40 CFR 503.
5. Class B biosolids means a material that has met the Class B pathogen reduction requirements or equivalent treatment by a Process to Significantly Reduce Pathogens (PFRP) in accordance with 40 CFR 503.
6. Domestic wastewater means wastewater originating from the sanitary conveniences of residences, commercial buildings, factories and institutions; or co-mingled sanitary and industrial wastewater processed by a (POTW) or a privately owned facility.
7. Industrial wastewater means any wastewater, also known as process water, not defined as domestic wastewater. Per 40 CFR Part 122, process water means any water which, during manufacturing or processing, comes into direct contact with or results from the production or use of any raw material, intermediate product, finished product, byproduct, or waste product.
8. Mechanical treatment plants are wastewater treatment facilities that use mechanical devices to treat wastewater, including septic tanks, sand filters, extended aeration, activated sludge, contact stabilization, trickling filters, rotating biological discs, and other similar facilities. It does not include wastewater treatment lagoons and constructed wetlands for wastewater treatment.
9. Operating location as defined in 10 CSR 20-2.010 is all contiguous lands owned, operated or controlled by one (1) person or by two (2) or more persons jointly or as tenants in common.
10. Plant Available Nitrogen (PAN) is the nitrogen that will be available to plants during the growing seasons after biosolids application.
11. Public contact site is land with a high potential for contact by the public. This includes, but is not limited to, public parks, ball fields, cemeteries, plant nurseries, turf farms, and golf courses.
12. Sludge is the solid, semisolid, or liquid residue removed during the treatment of wastewater. Sludge includes septage removed from septic tanks or equivalent facilities. Sludge does not include carbon coal byproducts (CCBs)
13. Sludge lagoon is part of a mechanical wastewater treatment facility. A sludge lagoon is an earthen basin that receives sludge that has been removed from a wastewater treatment facility. It does not include a wastewater treatment lagoon or sludge treatment units that are not a part of a mechanical wastewater treatment facility.
14. Septage is the material pumped from residential septic tanks and similar treatment works (with a design population of less than 150 people). The standard for biosolids from septage is different from other sludges.

SECTION C – MECHANICAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES

1. Sludge shall be routinely removed from wastewater treatment facilities and handled according to the permit facility description and sludge conditions of this permit.
2. The permittee shall operate the facility so that there is no sludge discharged to waters of the state.
3. Mechanical treatment plants shall have separate sludge storage compartments in accordance with 10 CSR 20, Chapter 8. Failure to remove sludge from these storage compartments on the required design schedule is a violation of this permit.

SECTION D – SLUDGE DISPOSED AT OTHER TREATMENT FACILITY OR CONTRACT HAULER

1. This section applies to permittees that haul sludge to another treatment facility for disposal or use contract haulers to remove and dispose of sludge.
2. Permittees that use contract haulers are responsible for compliance with all the terms of this permit including final disposal, unless the hauler has a separate permit for sludge or biosolids disposal issued by the Department; or the hauler transports the sludge to another permitted treatment facility.
3. Haulers who land apply septage must obtain a state permit.
4. Testing of sludge, other than total solids content, is not required if sludge is hauled to a municipal wastewater treatment facility or other permitted wastewater treatment facility, unless it is required by the accepting facility.

SECTION E – INCINERATION OF SLUDGE

1. Sludge incineration facilities shall comply with the requirements of 40 CFR 503 Subpart E; air pollution control regulations under 10 CSR 10; and solid waste management regulations under 10 CSR 80.
2. Permittee may be authorized under the facility description of this permit to store incineration ash in lagoons or ash ponds. This permit does not authorize the disposal of incineration ash. Incineration ash shall be disposed in accordance with 10 CSR 80; or if the ash is determined to be hazardous with 10 CSR 25.
3. In addition to normal sludge monitoring, incineration facilities shall report the following as part of the annual report, quantity of sludge incinerated, quantity of ash generated, quantity of ash stored, and ash used or disposal method, quantity, and location. Permittee shall also provide the name of the disposal facility and the applicable permit number.

SECTION F – SURFACE DISPOSAL SITES AND SLUDGE LAGOONS

1. Surface disposal sites of domestic facilities shall comply with the requirements in 40 CFR 503 Subpart C; air pollution control regulations under 10 CSR 10; and solid waste management regulations under 10 CSR 80.
2. Sludge storage lagoons are temporary facilities and are not required to obtain a permit as a solid waste management facility under 10 CSR 80. In order to maintain sludge storage lagoons as storage facilities, accumulated sludge must be removed routinely, but not less than once every two years unless an alternate schedule is approved in the permit. The amount of sludge removed will be dependent on sludge generation and accumulation in the facility. Enough sludge must be removed to maintain adequate storage capacity in the facility.
 - a. In order to avoid damage to the lagoon seal during cleaning, the permittee may leave a layer of sludge on the bottom of the lagoon, upon prior approval of the Department; or
 - b. Permittee shall close the lagoon in accordance with Section H.

SECTION G – LAND APPLICATION

1. The permittee shall not land apply sludge or biosolids unless land application is authorized in the facility description or the special conditions of the issued NPDES permit.
2. Land application sites within a 20 miles radius of the wastewater treatment facility are authorized under this permit when biosolids are applied for beneficial use in accordance with these standard conditions unless otherwise specified in a site specific permit. If the permittee's land application site is greater than a 20 mile radius of the wastewater treatment facility, approval must be granted from the Department.
3. Land application shall not adversely affect a threatened or endangered species or its designated critical habitat.
4. Biosolids shall not be applied unless authorized in this permit or exempted under 10 CSR 20, Chapter 6.
 - a. This permit does not authorize the land application of domestic sludge except for when sludge meets the definition of biosolids.
 - b. This permit authorizes "Class A or B" biosolids derived from domestic wastewater and/or process water sludge to be land applied onto grass land, crop land, timber or other similar agricultural or silviculture lands at rates suitable for beneficial use as organic fertilizer and soil conditioner.
5. Public Contact Sites:

Permittees who wish to apply Class A biosolids to public contact sites must obtain approval from the Department after two years of proper operation with acceptable testing documentation that shows the biosolids meet Class A criteria. A shorter length of testing will be allowed with prior approval from the Department. Authorization for land applications must be provided in the special conditions section of this permit or in a separate site specific permit.

 - a. After Class B biosolids have been land applied, public access must be restricted for 12 months.
 - b. Class B biosolids are only land applied to root crops, home gardens or vegetable crops whose edible parts will not be for human consumption.
6. Agricultural and Silvicultural Sites:

Septage – Based on Water Quality guide 422 (WQ422) published by the University of Missouri

 - a. Haulers that land apply septage must obtain a state permit
 - b. Do not apply more than 30,000 gallons of septage per acre per year.
 - c. Septage tanks are designed to retain sludge for one to three years which will allow for a larger reduction in pathogens and vectors, as compared to other mechanical type treatment facilities.
 - d. To meet Class B sludge requirements, maintain septage at 12 pH for at least thirty (30) minutes before land application. 50 pounds of hydrated lime shall be added to each 1,000 gallons of septage in order to meet pathogen and vector stabilization for septage biosolids applied to crops, pastures or timberland.
 - e. Lime is to be added to the pump truck and not directly to the septic tanks, as lime would harm the beneficial bacteria of the septic tank.

Biosolids - Based on Water Quality guide 423, 424, and 425 (WQ423, WQ424, WQ425) published by the University of Missouri;

- a. Biosolids shall be monitored to determine the quality for regulated pollutants
- b. The number of samples taken is directly related to the amount of sludge produced by the facility (See Section I of these Standard Conditions). Report as dry weight unless otherwise specified in the site specific permit. Samples should be taken only during land application periods. When necessary, it is permissible to mix biosolids with lower concentrations of biosolids as well as other suitable Department approved material to reach the maximum concentration of pollutants allowed.
- c. Table 1 gives the maximum concentration allowable to protect water quality standards

TABLE 1

Biosolids ceiling concentration ¹	
Pollutant	Milligrams per kilogram dry weight
Arsenic	75
Cadmium	85
Copper	4,300
Lead	840
Mercury	57
Molybdenum	75
Nickel	420
Selenium	100
Zinc	7,500

¹ Land application is not allowed if the sludge concentration exceeds the maximum limits for any of these pollutants

- d. The low metal concentration biosolids has reduced requirements because of its higher quality and can safely be applied for 100 years or longer at typical agronomic loading rates. (See Table 2)

TABLE 2

Biosolids Low Metal Concentration ¹	
Pollutant	Milligrams per kilogram dry weight
Arsenic	41
Cadmium	39
Copper	1,500
Lead	300
Mercury	17
Nickel	420
Selenium	36
Zinc	2,800

¹ You may apply low metal biosolids without tracking cumulative metal limits, provided the cumulative application of biosolids does not exceed 500 dry tons per acre.

- e. Each pollutant in Table 3 has an annual and a total cumulative loading limit, based on the allowable pounds per acre for various soil categories.

TABLE 3

Pollutant	CEC 15+		CEC 5 to 15		CEC 0 to 5	
	Annual	Total ¹	Annual	Total ¹	Annual	Total ¹
Arsenic	1.8	36.0	1.8	36.0	1.8	36.0
Cadmium	1.7	35.0	0.9	9.0	0.4	4.5
Copper	66.0	1,335.0	25.0	250.0	12.0	125.0
Lead	13.0	267.0	13.0	267.0	13.0	133.0
Mercury	0.7	15.0	0.7	15.0	0.7	15.0
Nickel	19.0	347.0	19.0	250.0	12.0	125.0
Selenium	4.5	89.0	4.5	44.0	1.6	16.0
Zinc	124.0	2,492.0	50.0	500.0	25.0	250.0

¹ Total cumulative loading limits for soils with equal or greater than 6.0 pH (salt based test) or 6.5 pH (water based test)

TABLE 4 - Guidelines for land application of other trace substances ¹

Cumulative Loading	
Pollutant	Pounds per acre
Aluminum	4,000 ²
Beryllium	100
Cobalt	50
Fluoride	800
Manganese	500
Silver	200
Tin	1,000
Dioxin	(10 ppt in soil) ³
Other	⁴

¹ Design of land treatment systems for Industrial Waste, 1979. Michael Ray Overcash, North Carolina State University and Land Treatment of Municipal Wastewater, EPA 1981.)

² This applies for a soil with a pH between 6.0 and 7.0 (salt based test) or a pH between 6.5 to 7.5 (water based test). Case-by-case review is required for higher pH soils.

³ Total Dioxin Toxicity Equivalents (TEQ) in soils, based on a risk assessment under 40 CFR 744, May 1998.

⁴ Case by case review. Concentrations in sludge should not exceed the 95th percentile of the National Sewage Sludge Survey, EPA, January 2009.

Best Management Practices – Based on Water Quality guide 426 (WQ426) published by the University of Missouri

- a. Use best management practices when applying biosolids.
- b. Biosolids cannot discharge from the land application site
- c. Biosolid application is subject to the Missouri Department of Agriculture State Milk Board concerning grazing restrictions of lactating dairy cattle.
- d. Biosolid application must be in accordance with section 4 of the Endangered Species Act.
- e. Do not apply more than the agronomic rate of nitrogen needed.
- f. The applicator must document the Plant Available Nitrogen (PAN) loadings, available nitrogen in the soil, and crop removal when either of the following occurs: 1) When biosolids are greater than 50,000 mg/kg TN; or 2) When biosolids are land applied at an application rate greater than two dry tons per acre per year.
 - i. PAN can be determined as follows and is in accordance with WQ426
(Nitrate + nitrite nitrogen) + (organic nitrogen x 0.2) + (ammonia nitrogen x volatilization factor¹).
 - ¹ Volatilization factor is 0.7 for surface application and 1 for subsurface application.
- g. Buffer zones are as follows:
 - i. 300 feet of a water supply well, sinkhole, lake, pond, water supply reservoir or water supply intake in a stream;
 - ii. 300 feet of a losing stream, no discharge stream, stream stretches designated for whole body contact recreation, wild and scenic rivers, Ozark National Scenic Riverways or outstanding state resource waters as listed in the Water Quality Standards, 10 CSR 20-7.031;
 - iii. 150 feet if dwellings;
 - iv. 100 feet of wetlands or permanent flowing streams;
 - v. 50 feet of a property line or other waters of the state, including intermittent flowing streams.
- h. Slope limitation for application sites are as follows;
 - i. A slope 0 to 6 percent has no rate limitation
 - ii. Applied to a slope 7 to 12 percent, the applicator may apply biosolids when soil conservation practices are used to meet the minimum erosion levels
 - iii. Slopes > 12 percent, apply biosolids only when grass is vegetated and maintained with at least 80 percent ground cover at a rate of two dry tons per acre per year or less.
- i. No biosolids may be land applied in an area that it is reasonably certain that pollutants will be transported into waters of the state.
- j. Do not apply biosolids to sites with soil that is snow covered, frozen or saturated with liquid without prior approval by the Department.
- k. Biosolids / sludge applicators must keep detailed records up to five years.

SECTION H – CLOSURE REQUIREMENTS

1. This section applies to all wastewater facilities (mechanical, industrial, and lagoons) and sludge or biosolids storage and treatment facilities and incineration ash ponds. It does not apply to land application sites.
2. Permittees of a domestic wastewater facility who plan to cease operation must obtain Department approval of a closure plan which addresses proper removal and disposal of all residues, including sludge, biosolids. Mechanical plants, sludge lagoons, ash ponds and other storage structures must obtain approval of a closure plan from the Department. Permittee must maintain this permit until the facility is closed in accordance with the approved closure plan per 10 CSR 20 – 6. 010 and 10 CSR 20 – 6.015.
3. Residuals that are left in place during closure of a lagoon or earthen structure or ash pond shall not exceed the agricultural loading rates as follows:
 - a. Residuals shall meet the monitoring and land application limits for agricultural rates as referenced in Section H of these standard conditions.
 - b. If a wastewater treatment lagoon has been in operation for 15 years or more without sludge removal, the sludge in the lagoon qualifies as a Class B biosolids with respect to pathogens due to anaerobic digestion, and testing for fecal coliform is not required. For other lagoons, testing for fecal coliform is required to show compliance with Class B biosolids limitations. In order to reach Class B biosolids requirements, fecal coliform must be less than 2,000,000 colony forming units or 2,000,000 most probable number. All fecal samples must be presented as geometric mean per gram.
 - c. The allowable nitrogen loading that may be left in the lagoon shall be based on the plant available nitrogen (PAN) loading. For a grass cover crop, the allowable PAN is 300 pounds/acre.
 - i. PAN can be determined as follows:
$$(\text{Nitrate} + \text{nitrite nitrogen}) + (\text{organic nitrogen} \times 0.2) + (\text{ammonia nitrogen} \times \text{volatilization factor}^1).$$

¹ Volatilization factor is 0.7 for surface application and 1 for subsurface application.
4. When closing a domestic wastewater treatment lagoon with a design treatment capacity equal or less than 150 persons, the residuals are considered “septage” under the similar treatment works definition. See Section B of these standard conditions. Under the septage category, residuals may be left in place as follows:
 - a. Testing for metals or fecal coliform is not required
 - b. If the wastewater treatment lagoon has been in use for less than 15 years, mix lime with the sludge at a rate of 50 pounds of hydrated lime per 1000 gallons (134 cubic feet) of sludge.
 - c. The amount of sludge that may be left in the lagoon shall be based on the plant available nitrogen (PAN) loading. 100 dry tons/acre of sludge may be left in the basin without testing for nitrogen. If 100 dry tons/acre or more will be left in the lagoon, test for nitrogen and determine the PAN using the calculation above. Allowable PAN loading is 300 pounds/acre.
5. Residuals left within the domestic lagoon shall be mixed with soil on at least a 1 to 1 ratio, the lagoon berm shall be demolished, and the site shall be graded and contain $\geq 70\%$ vegetative density over 100% of the site so as to avoid ponding of storm water and provide adequate surface water drainage without creating erosion.
6. Lagoons and/or earthen structure and/or ash pond closure activities shall obtain a storm water permit for land disturbance activities that equal or exceed one acre in accordance with 10 CSR 20-6.200
7. When closing a mechanical wastewater and/or industrial process wastewater plant; all sludge must be cleaned out and disposed of in accordance with the Department approved closure plan before the permit for the facility can be terminated.
 - a. Land must be stabilized which includes any grading, alternate use or fate upon approval by the Department, remediation, or other work that exposes sediment to stormwater per 10 CSR 20-6.200. The site shall be graded and contain $\geq 70\%$ vegetative density over 100% of the site, so as to avoid ponding of storm water and provide adequate surface water drainage without creating erosion.
 - b. Per 10 CSR 20-6.015(4)(B)6, Hazardous Waste shall not be land applied or disposed during industrial and mechanical plant closures unless in accordance with Missouri Hazardous Waste Management Law and Regulations under 10 CSR 25.
 - c. After demolition of the mechanical plant / industrial plant, the site must only contain clean fill defined in RSMo 260.200 (5) as uncontaminated soil, rock, sand, gravel, concrete, asphaltic concrete, cinderblocks, brick, minimal amounts of wood and metal, and inert solids as approved by rule or policy of the Department for fill or other beneficial use. Other solid wastes must be removed.
8. If sludge from the domestic lagoon or mechanical treatment plant exceeds agricultural rates under Section G and/or H, a landfill permit or solid waste disposal permit must be obtained if the permittee chooses to seek authorization for on-site sludge disposal under the Missouri Solid Waste Management Law and regulations per 10 CSR 80, and the permittee must comply with the surface disposal requirements under 40 CFR 503, Subpart C.

SECTION I – MONITORING FREQUENCY

1. At a minimum, sludge or biosolids shall be tested for volume and percent total solids on a frequency that will accurately represent sludge quantities produced and disposed. Please see the table below.

TABLE 5

Design Sludge Production (dry tons per year)	Monitoring Frequency (See Notes 1, 2, and 3)			
	Metals, Pathogens and Vectors	Nitrogen TKN ¹	Nitrogen PAN ²	Priority Pollutants and TCLP ³
0 to 100	1 per year	1 per year	1 per month	1 per year
101 to 200	biannual	biannual	1 per month	1 per year
201 to 1,000	quarterly	quarterly	1 per month	1 per year
1,001 to 10,000	1 per month	1 per month	1 per week	-- ⁴
10,001 +	1 per week	1 per week	1 per day	-- ⁴

¹ Test total Kjeldahl nitrogen, if biosolids application is 2 dry tons per acre per year or less.

² Calculate plant available nitrogen (PAN) when either of the following occurs: 1) when biosolids are greater than 50,000 mg/kg TN; or 2) when biosolids are land applied at an application rate greater than two dry tons per acre per year.

³ Priority pollutants (40 CFR 122.21, Appendix D, Tables II and III) and toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (40 CFR 261.24) is required only for permit holders that must have a pre-treatment program.

⁴ One sample for each 1,000 dry tons of sludge.

Note 1: Total solids: A grab sample of sludge shall be tested one per day during land application periods for percent total solids. This data shall be used to calculate the dry tons of sludge applied per acre.

Note 2: Total Phosphorus: Total phosphorus and total potassium shall be tested at the same monitoring frequency as metals.

Note 3: Table 5 is not applicable for incineration and permit holders that landfill their sludge.

2. If you own a wastewater treatment lagoon or sludge lagoon that is cleaned out once a year or less, you may choose to sample only when the sludge is removed or the lagoon is closed. Test one composite sample for each 100 dry tons of sludge or biosolids removed from the lagoon during the year within the lagoon at closing. Composite sample must represent various areas at one-foot depth.
3. Additional testing may be required in the special conditions or other sections of the permit. Permittees receiving industrial wastewater may be required to conduct additional testing upon request from the Department.
4. At this time, the Department recommends monitoring requirements shall be performed in accordance with, "POTW Sludge Sampling and Analysis Guidance Document," United States Environmental Protection Agency, August 1989, and the subsequent revisions.

SECTION J – RECORD KEEPING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

1. The permittee shall maintain records on file at the facility for at least five years for the items listed in these standard conditions and any additional items in the Special Conditions section of this permit. This shall include dates when the sludge facility is checked for proper operation, records of maintenance and repairs and other relevant information.
2. Reporting period
 - a. By January 28th of each year, an annual report shall be submitted for the previous calendar year period for all mechanical wastewater treatment facilities, sludge lagoons, and sludge or biosolids disposal facilities.
 - b. Permittees with wastewater treatment lagoons shall submit the above annual report only when sludge or biosolids are removed from the lagoon during the report period or when the lagoon is closed.
3. Report Forms. The annual report shall be submitted on report forms provided by the Department or equivalent forms approved by the Department.
4. Reports shall be submitted as follows:


Major facilities (those serving 10,000 persons or 1 million gallons per day) shall report to both the Department and EPA. Other facilities need to report only to the Department. Reports shall be submitted to the addresses listed as follows:

DNR regional office listed in your permit
 (see cover letter of permit)
 ATTN: Sludge Coordinator

EPA Region VII
 Water Compliance Branch (WACM)
 Sludge Coordinator
 11201 Renner Blvd.
 Lenexa, KS 66219

5. Annual report contents. The annual report shall include the following:
 - a. Sludge and biosolids testing performed. Include a copy or summary of all test results, even if not required by the permit.
 - b. Sludge or biosolids quantity shall be reported as dry tons for quantity generated by the wastewater treatment facility, the quantity stored on site at the end of the year, and the quantity used or disposed.
 - c. Gallons and % solids data used to calculate the dry ton amounts.
 - d. Description of any unusual operating conditions.
 - e. Final disposal method, dates, and location, and person responsible for hauling and disposal.
 - i. This must include the name, address for the hauler and sludge facility. If hauled to a municipal wastewater treatment facility, sanitary landfill, or other approved treatment facility, give the name of that facility.
 - ii. Include a description of the type of hauling equipment used and the capacity in tons, gallons, or cubic feet.
 - f. Contract Hauler Activities:

If contract hauler, provide a copy of a signed contract from the contractor. Permittee shall require the contractor to supply information required under this permit for which the contractor is responsible. The permittee shall submit a signed statement from the contractor that he has complied with the standards contained in this permit, unless the contract hauler has a separate sludge or biosolids use permit.
 - g. Land Application Sites:
 - i. Report the location of each application site, the annual and cumulative dry tons/acre for each site, and the landowners name and address. The location for each spreading site shall be given as a legal description for nearest ¼, ¼, Section, Township, Range, and county, or UTM coordinates. The facility shall report PAN when either of the following occurs: 1) When biosolids are greater than 50,000 mg/kg TN; or 2) when biosolids are land applied at an application rate greater than two dry tons per acre per year.
 - ii. If the "Low Metals" criteria are exceeded, report the annual and cumulative pollutant loading rates in pounds per acre for each applicable pollutant, and report the percent of cumulative pollutant loading which has been reached at each site.
 - iii. Report the method used for compliance with pathogen and vector attraction requirements.
 - iv. Report soil test results for pH, CEC, and phosphorus. If none was tested during the year, report the last date when tested and results.



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
 WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM
FORM S – SECTION 1. DOMESTIC SLUDGE REPORTING

GENERAL INFORMATION		
REPORTING PERIOD: (YEAR)		
FACILITY NAME	CITY NAME	
PERMIT NUMBER	COUNTY NAME	
INSTRUCTIONS: See attached instruction sheet for directions.		
1. Sludge Production, including sludge received from others:		
ACTUAL DRY TONS/YEAR	ACTUAL POPULATION EQUIVALENT	
2. Sludge Treatment		
<input type="checkbox"/> Anaerobic Digester <input type="checkbox"/> Aerobic Digester <input type="checkbox"/> Composting <input type="checkbox"/> Storage Tank <input type="checkbox"/> Air or Heat Drying <input type="checkbox"/> Lime Stabilization <input type="checkbox"/> Other, Describe: _____		
3. Sludge Use or Disposal: Complete the rest of this form only for the sections applicable to your method of sludge and biosolids use or disposal.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All Permittees Complete Section 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Land Application (LA) Complete Sections 2 and 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Contract Hauler (CH) >150 PE Complete Sections 2 and 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Contract Hauler (CH) <150 PE Complete Section 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Hauled to another Treatment Facility (HT) Complete Section 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Solid Waste Landfill (LF) Complete Section 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Sludge Disposal Lagoon (SD) Complete Section 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Incineration (IN) Complete Section 6 <input type="checkbox"/> Sludge Hauled to Incinerator (IO) Complete Section 6		
4. Certification: I certify under penalty of law that the information contained in this report and attachments are true and correct. This determination has been made under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information used to determine these requirements have been met. I am aware that there are significant penalties for false certification, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment.		
NAME (PRINT OR TYPE)	OFFICIAL TITLE	
SIGNATURE	DATE	TELEPHONE NUMBER WITH AREA CODE

**INSTRUCTIONS FOR FILLING OUT ANNUAL REPORT
FORM S – DOMESTIC SLUDGE**

GENERAL

The attached report form (**FORM S**) is to be used for submitting the annual sludge report as required under Standard Conditions Part III of the Missouri State Operating Permit, or NPDES. **This form is to be used only for domestic wastewater sludges.** It does NOT apply to industrial sludges.

Use the attached **Form S** as a **master copy** and make copies off of it as required for sludge reporting in subsequent years. This form must be signed by an appropriate official. Keep a copy for your records. **Send the completed Form S to your nearest Department of Natural Resources regional office. A list of regional offices is available on the department's Web site at dnr.mo.gov/regions/regions.htm.**

APPLICABILITY

Mechanical Wastewater Treatment Facilities must sign and submit the form, even if no sludge was removed during the report period. You must complete and submit Form S by Jan. 28 for each year for the previous calendar year.

Wastewater treatment lagoons need to submit the Form S report **only** when sludge is removed from the lagoon. Complete and submit the Form S by Jan. 28 for sludge removed during the previous calendar year.

Complete the sections of the Form S that are applicable to your facility. See the table below for guidance.

All permittees	Complete Section 1
Land Application (LA)	Complete Sections 2 and 3
Contract Hauler (CH) >150 PE	Complete Sections 2 and 4
Contract Hauler (CH) <150 PE	Complete Section 4
Hauled to another Treatment Facility (HT)	Complete Section 4
Solid Waste Landfill	Complete Section 4
Sludge Disposal Lagoon (SD)	Complete Section 5
Incineration (IN)	Complete Section 6
Sludge Hauled to Incinerator (IO)	Complete Section 6


ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, or EPA, REQUIREMENTS

Your facility may also be required to obtain a separate sludge permit from the EPA and to submit reports directly to EPA. Contact the EPA at the following address to determine the EPA's requirements for your facility.

Tanya Nix
Regional Sludge Coordinator
U.S. EPA Region 7
Water Management Division
901 N. 5th Street
Kansas City, KS 66101
Phone: 913-551-7170
FAX: 913-551-7765

For More Information

For assistance regarding this form or other sludge issues, please contact your nearest Department of Natural Resources regional office or contact the department at 800-361-4827 or the Water Protection Program at 573-751-1300.



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
 WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, WATER POLLUTION BRANCH
FORM S – SECTION 2 – LABORATORY RESULTS – FORM SA

SLUDGE MONITORING RESULTS FOR METALS, NUTRIENTS, PATHOGENS AND VETORS

PERMIT NO: MO -	REPORT PERIOD: (CALENDAR YEAR)
--------------------	--------------------------------

FACILITY NAME

Use this form to report sludge monitoring required under Standard Conditions for NPDES Permits, Part III, dated Aug. 15, 1994. For a copy, contact the department at (573) 751-6825.

If the facility has a design population equivalent (P.E.) of 150 or less, treat the sludge generated as septage and consequently, no testing is required. See WQ 422 guide, *Land Application of Septage*, for further guidance.

Report all results on dry weight basis.

Attach copies of all laboratory results for the items below.

A. MINIMUM MONITORING LIST FOR ALL PERMITTEES

PARAMETER	UNITS	AVERAGE	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NUMBER OF SAMPLES
TOTAL SOLIDS	%				
TOTAL ARSENIC	mg/kg				
TOTAL CADMIUM	mg/kg				
TOTAL CHROMIUM	mg/kg				
TOTAL COPPER	mg/kg				
TOTAL LEAD	mg/kg				
TOTAL MERCURY	mg/kg				
TOTAL MOLYBDENUM	mg/kg				
TOTAL NICKEL	mg/kg				
TOTAL SELENIUM	mg/kg				
TOTAL ZINC	mg/kg				

B. ADDITIONAL MONITORING FOR LAND APPLICATION

PARAMETER	UNITS	AVERAGE	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NUMBER OF SAMPLES
TOTAL KJELDAHL NITROGEN	mg/kg				
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS AS P	mg/kg				
TOTAL POTASSIUM AS K	mg/kg				

If more than two dry tons of sludge per acre/year is applied complete the following:

ORGANIC NITROGEN AS N	mg/kg				
AMMONIA NITROGEN AS N	mg/kg				
NITRATE NITROGEN AS N	mg/kg				

C. POLLUTANT LIMITS			
POLLUTANT	AVERAGE SAMPLE CONCENTRATION mg/kg DRY WEIGHT	LOW METAL CONCENTRATION mg/kg DRY WEIGHT	CEILING CONCENTRATION mg/kg DRY WEIGHT
ARSENIC		41	75
CADMIUM		39	85
CHROMIUM		1,200	3,000
COPPER		1,500	4,300
LEAD		300	840
MERCURY		17	57
MOLYBDENUM		18	75
NICKEL		420	420
SELENIUM		36	100
ZINC		2,800	7,500

D. PATHOGENS

Pathogen testing is required for all sludges to show operational compliance, including sludges treated by a PSRP approved method.

The geometric mean of the density of fecal coliform is less than 2,000,000 Most Probable Number (MPN) or Colony Forming Units (CFU) per gram of total solids (dry weight basis) for each group of seven samples:


Yes No Sampling frequency _____

Geometric mean per gram of total solids for each group of seven samples was:

MPN/CFU	SAMPLE DATE
MPN/CFU	SAMPLE DATE
MPN/CFU	SAMPLE DATE

E. VECTOR REDUCTION PROCESSES

- 38 percent volatile solids reduction (attach calculations).
- SOUR test, mg O/hr/g (attach graph and calculations).
- Other. Attach explanation.



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
 WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, WATER POLLUTION BRANCH
FORM S – SECTION 2 – LABORATORY RESULTS – FORM SB

SLUDGE MONITORING RESULTS FOR METALS, NUTRIENTS, PATHOGENS AND VETORS

PERMIT NO: MO -	REPORT PERIOD: (CALENDAR YEAR)
FACILITY NAME	

Report all results on dry weight basis.

F. PRIORITY POLLUTANTS


Report only those pollutants that were above detection limits. Do not repeat pollutants listed in section 2A. Attach additional sheets as needed.


PARAMETER	UNITS	AVERAGE	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NUMBER OF SAMPLES

G. OTHER SPECIAL MONITORING REQUIRED BY PERMIT

Report results of any additional testing required under the Special Conditions section of your permit.

PARAMETER	UNITS	AVERAGE	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NUMBER OF SAMPLES





MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
 WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, WATER POLLUTION BRANCH
FORM S – SECTION 3. LAND APPLICATION

PERMIT NO. _____	REPORTING PERIOD: CALENDAR YEAR _____
FACILITY NAME _____	
3.00 Land Application - General	
This section is based on Standard Conditions for NPDES Permits, Part III, dated Aug. 15, 1994. For a copy, contact the department at (573) 751-6825.	
Complete this section if sludge or biosolids were land applied for beneficial use by permittee or by contract hauler under permittee authority.	
3.01	<input type="checkbox"/> dry tons of sludge applied during the report period. <input type="checkbox"/> average percent solids If less than 12 percent solids: _____ total gallons for year. If 12 percent solids or greater: _____ cubic yards for year.
3.02	SLUDGE STORAGE PROVIDED _____ cubic feet; _____ days of storage. Number of days each month that sludge was land applied: <input type="checkbox"/> Jan <input type="checkbox"/> Feb <input type="checkbox"/> Mar <input type="checkbox"/> Apr <input type="checkbox"/> May <input type="checkbox"/> June <input type="checkbox"/> July <input type="checkbox"/> Aug <input type="checkbox"/> Sept <input type="checkbox"/> Oct <input type="checkbox"/> Nov <input type="checkbox"/> Dec
3.03	WHO APPLIES YOUR SLUDGE Permittee personnel <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Contract person <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Other, describe: _____
3.10 Applicability (Per Section H or Part III Standard Conditions)	
3.11	ARE THERE ANY LAND APPLICATION SITES FARTHER THAN 20 MILES FROM THE WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, a separate permit is required for those sites. Indicate permit numbers or submit new permit application for each site. Permit numbers: _____
3.12	ARE ANY INDUSTRIAL SLUDGES LAND APPLIED BY THE PERMITTEE? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, complete the following: Permit No: _____ Type of Sludge _____ SIC Code _____
3.13	ARE ALTERNATE LIMITS OR EXCEPTIONS LISTED IN THE SPECIAL CONDITIONS SECTION OF THE PERMIT? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If yes, attach explanation sheet.
3.14	IS SLUDGE RECEIVED FROM ANY OUT-OF-STATE GENERATORS? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If this sludge is handled separately, complete separate Sections 2 and 3 of Form S for the out-of-state sludge.
3.20 Pollutant Limitations	
3.21	ARE METALS WITHIN THE CEILING CONCENTRATION LIMIT? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If no, attach explanation sheet.
3.22	ARE METALS WITHIN THE LOW METALS CONCENTRATIONS AND THE TOAL OF ALL SLUDGE APPLICATIONS TO DATE (INCLUDING PREVIOUS YEARS) HAVE NOT EXCEEDED 500 DRY TONS/ACRE? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Attach list of sites using Form SC.
3.23	IF YOU ANSWERED NO TO 3.22, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING: Have metals application rates reached any of the cumulative metals loadings? This is based on contributions from all historical sludge loadings, including industrial sludges. <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Attach a list of sites using Form SD. Soil test results for metals may be used if historical use is not known. Test metals concentration in parts per million (ppm) dry weight for the top six inches of soil and calculate pounds per acre using this formula: $\text{ppm (dry wt) in soil} \times 2 = \text{pounds per acre for 6 inches soil depth.}$

3.30 Management Practices

3.31 NITROGEN LIMITATIONS

Which of the following nitrogen approaches was used?

Sludge applied up to two dry tons/acre/year. Yes No

Plant Available Nitrogen (PAN) approach. Yes No

_____ Number of composite samples. Results for PAN in mg/kg dry weight and pounds per dry ton of sludge (lb/dt) [lb/dt = 0.002 x mg/kg]:

AVERAGE		MINIMUM	MAXIMUM
PAN	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg
PAN	lb/dT	lb/dT	lb/dT

3.32 HAVE SLUDGE APPLICATIONS COMPLIED WITH THE FOLLOWING MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AS LISTED IN THE UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI WQ 426 GUIDE, BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR BIOSOLIDS LAND APPLICATION?

- 1. No discharge of biosolids from application site. Yes No
- 2. Public contact sites restriction. Yes No
- 3. Crop restrictions. Yes No
- 4. Harvest and grazing restrictions. Yes No
- 5. Threatened or endangered species protection. Yes No
- 6. Nitrogen limitations. Yes No
- 7. Buffer zones. Yes No
- 8. Slope limitations for application sites. Yes No
- 9. Storm water runoff Yes No
- 10. Frozen, snow-covered or saturated soil conditions. Yes No
- 11. Biosolids storage. Yes No
- 12. Application rates. Yes No
- 13. Application equipment. Yes No
- 14. Soil pH limitations. Yes No
- 15. Soil phosphorus limitations. Yes No
- 16. Soil depth limitations. Yes No
- 17. Record keeping: Yes No

If No, attach sheet with explanation


3.33 CLASS A SLUDGE (PER WQ 424 GUIDE – BIOSOLIDS STANDARDS FOR PATHOGENS AND VECTORS).

Does the sludge meet Class A pathogen reduction? Yes No

Has Class A sludge been applied to public use sites? Yes No

If yes to the second question in 3.33, contact Department of Natural Resources


3.40 Operational Standards for Class B Biosolids (See WQ 424).	
<input type="checkbox"/> Class B pathogen reduction requirements were met by either fecal coliform limits under section 2D or a PSRP listed in WQ 424, Table 2. Attach supporting data and indicate process option used. <input type="checkbox"/> Class B pathogen requirements not currently met. Attach explanation and schedule of compliance.	
3.41	VECTOR ATTRACTION REDUCTION REQUIREMENTS WERE MET. <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
3.50 Monitoring Frequency (Per WQ 424 – Monitoring Requirements for Biosolids Land Application.)	
Attach a summary of the monitoring results on Form SA.	
3.51	SLUDGE TESTING FOR METALS WAS PERFORMED: <input type="checkbox"/> once/year <input type="checkbox"/> once/six months <input type="checkbox"/> once/quarter <input type="checkbox"/> once/month <input type="checkbox"/> once/week <input type="checkbox"/> once/100 dry tons removed from lagoon. <input type="checkbox"/> other, specify: _____
3.52	PERMITTEE IS REQUIRED TO HAVE AN APPROVED PRETREATMENT PROGRAM. <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO If Yes, attach Form SB.
3.53	TOTAL SOLIDS TESTING WAS PERFORMED AT LEAST ONCE PER DAY DURING LAND APPLICATION PERIODS? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO If No, attach explanation.
3.54	NITROGEN TESTING WAS PERFORMED PER THE FREQUENCY IN WQ 423. This frequency is _____, <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO If No, attach explanation.
3.55	TOTAL PHOSPHORUS AND TOTAL POTASSIUM WERE TESTED AT THE SAME FREQUENCY REQUIRED FOR METALS AS INDICATED IN WQ 423. <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO If No, attach explanation.
3.56	SOIL TESTING FOR PH AND CATION EXCHANGE CAPACITY (CEC) AND AVAILABLE PHOSPHORUS HAS BEEN CONDUCTED WITHIN THE LAST FIVE YEARS. <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO If No, attach explanation
3.57	WAS ANY ADDITIONAL SLUDGE OR SOIL TESTING REQUIRED UNDER THE SPECIAL CONDITIONS SECTION OF YOUR WATER POLLUTION CONTROL (NPDES) PERMIT? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO If Yes, attach a summary using Form SB.
PERMIT NO	
REPORT PERIOD: CALENDAR YEAR	
FACILITY NAME	
3.60 Certification for Land Application	
Check all that apply. I certify under penalty of law that: <input type="checkbox"/> records on testing, and pollutant loadings, as listed above in Section 2, have been kept in accordance with 40 CFR 503.17, <input type="checkbox"/> the management practices, as listed above in Section 2, have been met in accordance with 40 CFR 503.14 <input type="checkbox"/> the Class B pathogen requirements and the site restrictions, as listed above in Section 2, have been met in accordance with 40 CFR 503.15 and 503.32. <input type="checkbox"/> one of the vector attraction requirements, as listed above in Section 2, have been met in accordance with 40 CFR 503.15 and 503.33.	
This determination has been made under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information used to determine these requirements have been met. I am aware that there are significant penalties for false certification, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment.	
NAME	OFFICIAL TITLE
SIGNATURE	DATE



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, WATER POLLUTION BRANCH
FORM SC – LAND APPLICATION OF BIOSOLIDS WITH LOW METALS CONCENTRATIONS

Use this form for application sites that have received biosolids with low metal(s) concentrations per section 3.22 of Form S. Enter the site number for each field based on the site maps on file at the facility. Report biosolids application rate in dry tons per acre per year (dt/ac/yr). Attach additional copies of this sheet as needed.

PERMIT NO. MO -		REPORTING PERIOD: CALENDAR YEAR
FACILITY NAME		
SITE NO.	OWNERS NAME	
LEGAL ___ ¼, ___ ¼, Sec ___, T ___, R ___, County ___		
BIOSOLIDS ___ dt/ac/yr ___ acres		NITROGEN ___ lbs/ac/yr (TKN/PAN)
CROPS GROWN		SOIL pH
SITE NO.	OWNERS NAME	
LEGAL ___ ¼, ___ ¼, Sec ___, T ___, R ___, County ___		
BIOSOLIDS ___ dt/ac/yr ___ acres		NITROGEN ___ lbs/ac/yr (TKN/PAN)
CROPS GROWN		SOIL pH
SITE NO.	OWNERS NAME	
LEGAL ___ ¼, ___ ¼, Sec ___, T ___, R ___, County ___		
BIOSOLIDS ___ dt/ac/yr ___ acres		NITROGEN ___ lbs/ac/yr (TKN/PAN)
CROPS GROWN		SOIL pH
SITE NO.	OWNERS NAME	
LEGAL ___ ¼, ___ ¼, Sec ___, T ___, R ___, County ___		
BIOSOLIDS ___ dt/ac/yr ___ acres		NITROGEN ___ lbs/ac/yr (TKN/PAN)
CROPS GROWN		SOIL pH
SITE NO.	OWNERS NAME	
LEGAL ___ ¼, ___ ¼, Sec ___, T ___, R ___, County ___		
BIOSOLIDS ___ dt/ac/yr ___ acres		NITROGEN ___ lbs/ac/yr (TKN/PAN)
CROPS GROWN		SOIL pH
SITE NO.	OWNERS NAME	
LEGAL ___ ¼, ___ ¼, Sec ___, T ___, R ___, County ___		
BIOSOLIDS ___ dt/ac/yr ___ acres		NITROGEN ___ lbs/ac/yr (TKN/PAN)
CROPS GROWN		SOIL pH



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
 WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, WATER POLLUTION BRANCH
FORM SD – CUMULATIVE METAL LOADINGS FOR LAND APPLICATION OF BIOSOLIDS

Use this form for application sites that have received biosolids that exceed the low metals concentrations or have exceeded a cumulative site loading of 500 dry tons/acre of biosolids per Section 3.22 of Form S. Enter the site number for each field based on the site maps on file at the facility. Attach additional copies of this sheet as needed.

PERMIT NO. MO -	REPORTING PERIOD: CALENDAR YEAR
--------------------	---------------------------------

FACILITY NAME

SITE NO.	LAND OWNERS NAME
----------	------------------

LEGAL
 ___ 1/4, ___ 1/4, Sec ___, T ___, R ___, County _____


BIOSOLIDS _____ dt/ac/yr _____ acres	NITROGEN _____ lbs/ac/yr (TKN OR PAN)
---	--

CROPS GROWN

PARAMETER	UNITS	CUMULATIVE LOADINGS			
		PREVIOUS TOTAL	ADDED THIS YEAR	CURRENT TOTAL	PERCENT OF** ALLOWED LOAD
BIOSOLIDS	TON/ACRE*				
TOTAL ARSENIC	LBS/ACRE*				%
TOTAL CADMIUM	LBS/ACRE*				%
TOTAL CHROMIUM	LBS/ACRE*				%
TOTAL COPPER	LBS/ACRE*				%
TOTAL LEAD	LBS/ACRE*				%
TOTAL MERCURY	LBS/ACRE*				%
TOTAL MOLYBDENUM	LBS/ACRE*				%
TOTAL NICKEL	LBS/ACRE*				%
TOTAL SELENIUM	LBS/ACRE*				%
TOTAL ZINC	LBS/ACRE*				%
SOIL pH (SALT TEST)	pH UNITS				%
SOIL CEC	meg/100g SOIL				%

* Report as dry weight.


** Report the percentage of the allowable cumulative loading for the site based on the limits in Permit Standard Conditions Part III. Round to the nearest 5 percent. If less than 10 percent, report as <10.



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, WATER POLLUTION BRANCH
FORM S – SECTION 4. SLUDGE HAULING

PERMIT NO.:		REPORTING PERIOD: CALENDAR YEAR
FACILITY NAME		
<p>Complete this section if the sludge generator or contract hauler transports sludge to another wastewater treatment facility or sludge disposal facility. Applicable sludge requirements are listed under Part III Standard Conditions.</p> <p>Show the applicable NPDES permit number (MO-) under 4.14 and 4.24. If disposal is at a landfill, surface disposal facility, or sludge disposal lagoon, the solid waste disposal permit number (SW) must also be given.</p> <p>If the facility has a design population equivalent (P.E.) of 150 or less, treat the sludge generated as septage and consequently, no testing is required. See WQ 422 guide, <i>Land Application of Septage</i>, for further guidance.</p>		
4.10 Person Responsible for Hauling Sludge to Disposal Facility		
4.11 HAULER NAME		
4.12 CONTACT PERSON		
4.13 CONTACT ADDRESS		
4.14 PHONE	PERMIT NO: MO-	SW
4.20 Person Responsible for Final Sludge Disposal		
4.21 FACILITY NAME		
4.22 CONTACT PERSON		
4.23 CONTACT ADDRESS		
4.24 PHONE	PERMIT NO: MO-	SW
4.25 SLUDGE DISPOSAL METHOD		
4.26 LEGAL ____ ¼, ____ ¼, SEC ____, T ____, R ____, COUNTY _____		

4.30 Sludge Removal from Treatment Facility											
4.31 CAPACITY OF SLUDGE HOLDING STRUCTURES									DAYS OF STORAGE		
Sludge storage provided: _____ gallons.											
AVERAGE PERCENT SOLIDS OF SLUDGE											
<input type="checkbox"/> No sludge storage is provided											
4.32 Sludge hauled for disposal during the report period.											
DRY TONS				CUBIC FEET				GALLONS			
4.33 Number of dry tons or gallons hauled each month from the wastewater treatment facility.											
JAN.	FEB.	MAR.	APR.	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG.	SEPT.	OCT.	NOV.	DEC.
If sludge hauled was more than the sludge holding capacity, attach explanation.											
4.40 Sludge Monitoring (Per Subsection J of Part III Standard Conditions)											
<input type="checkbox"/> 4.41 If the receiving facility is permitted facility, then it is responsible for testing and submitting section 2.											
<input type="checkbox"/> 4.42 If the receiving facility is not a permitted facility, then the generator is responsible for testing and completing section 2.											
4.50 Sludge Disposal Requirements											
4.51 If the disposal facility listed under 4.20 does not have a sludge disposal permit, the wastewater treatment facility or sludge generator shall submit detailed information on sludge disposal:											
<input type="checkbox"/> Attach completed Section 3 of From S, if sludge is land applied.											
<input type="checkbox"/> Attach sheets providing the information listed under section K of Part III Special Conditions, if sludge is not land applied.											
4.52 Are alternate limits or exceptions listed in the Special Conditions section of the wastewater treatment facility permit or sludge generator permit?											
<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO If yes, attach explanation sheet.											



MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
 WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, WATER POLLUTION BRANCH
FORM S - SECTION 5. SLUDGE DISPOSAL LAGOON

PERMIT NO.:		REPORTING PERIOD: CALENDAR YEAR	
FACILITY NAME			
<p>Complete this section if sludge has been left for more than two years in a sludge lagoon or inactive wastewater treatment lagoon and the lagoon has not been closed in accordance with a closure plan approved by the department.</p> <p>Applicable sludge requirements are listed under Part III Standard Conditions.</p> <p>Show both the NPDES permit number (MO-) and the solid waste disposal permit number (SW) under 5.10.</p>			
5.10 Person Responsible for Final Sludge Disposal			
5.11 FACILITY NAME			
5.12 CONTACT PERSON			
5.13 CONTACT ADDRESS			
5.14 PHONE		PERMIT NO: MO-	SW
5.20 Sludge Disposal Lagoon Location			
5.21 LEGAL ___ ¼, ___ ¼, SEC ___, T ___, R ___, COUNTY _____			
5.30 Lagoon Description			
5.31 <input type="checkbox"/> Sludge lagoon that has received sludge during the report period.			
5.32 <input type="checkbox"/> Sludge lagoon that did not receive sludge during the report period but has not been closed in accordance with a closure plan approved by the department.			
5.33 <input type="checkbox"/> Inactive wastewater treatment lagoon that no longer receives wastewater or sludge but has not been closed in accordance with a closure plan approved by the department.			
5.34 SURFACE ACRES OF LAGOON		FEET OF SLUDGE DEPTH	
5.35 CUBIC FEET (DESIGN)		CUBIC FEET SLUDGE (CURRENT)	
5.36 AVERAGE % SOLIDS OF SLUDGE IN THE LAGOON		DAYS OF STORAGE	
5.40 Groundwater Protection			
5.41 DATE OF LAGOON CONSTRUCTION (APPROXIMATE IF NOT KNOWN)			
5.42 GEOLOGIC REPORT Attach a copy of recent (Since July 1, 1993) geologic evaluation report by the department's Geological Survey & Resource Assessment Division (GSRAD).			
5.43 LAGOON SEAL - THE LAGOON SEAL MUST MEET BOTH OF THE FOLLOWING REQUIREMENTS:			
<input type="checkbox"/> Lagoon bottom and side seal meets current sealing requirements of 10 ⁻⁷ cm/sec per 10 CSR 20-8.200(6)(C) or 8.020(13)(A).			
<input type="checkbox"/> Lagoon seal meets requirements for a composite liner per Solid Waste Management Law and regulations under 10 CSR 80.			
5.44 GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELLS			
<input type="checkbox"/> Monitoring wells installed and approved by GSRAD. Attach monitoring well test results for parameters listed under Section A of Form SA, plus nitrate nitrogen as N, and any other groundwater testing required by the permit per 10 CSR 20-7.031.			
<input type="checkbox"/> Monitoring wells not installed.			

5.50 Sludge Monitoring

- Attach Form SA for sludge monitoring.
- If pretreatment, Form SB must also be attached.

5.60 Operating Requirements

Complete those that apply for 5.61 through 5.63.

5.61 Solid waste disposal permit (landfill permit) and NPDES sludge disposal permit will be obtained by _____ (date).

5.62 Sludge will be removed from the lagoon by

_____ Starting date.


_____ Ending date.

- Lagoon closed by pushing in the berms and grading and seeding.
- Lagoon used for storage only and accumulated sludge cleaned out every two years.
- Lagoon closed by mixing sludge with equal parts of earth and pushing in the berms. The sludge and soil mixture shall not exceed the cumulative agricultural loading for nitrogen and metals (see WQ 425 and 429 guides).

5.63 Are alternate limits or exceptions listed in the Special Conditions section of the NPDES permit?

- YES NO If yes, attach explanation sheet.





MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
 WATER PROTECTION PROGRAM, WATER POLLUTION BRANCH
FORM S - SECTION 6. SLUDGE INCINERATION

PERMIT NO.	REPORTING PERIOD: CALENDAR YEAR
------------	---------------------------------

FACILITY NAME

Complete this section if you operate a sewage sludge incinerator. If you haul your sludge to a regional incineration facility, do not complete this form; use Form S - Section 4. Applicable sludge requirements are listed under Part III Standard Conditions.

Show both the NPDES permit number (MO-), the solid waste disposal permit number (SW), and the Air Pollution Control Permit (AP) under 6.12.

6.10 Sludge Incinerator Permits

6.12 PERMIT NUMBER:
 MO- SW AP

6.13 THE INCINERATOR EMISSIONS LIMITATIONS AND OPERATING REQUIREMENTS MET THE REQUIREMENTS IN 40 CFR 503 SUBPART E.
 YES NO ATTACH TESTING DATA REQUIRED BY EPA PERMIT.

6.20 Sludge Monitoring

Sludge or ash monitoring required by State permit. Attach copies of laboratory results.

SLUDGE MONITORING DATA

PARAMETER	UNITS	AVERAGE	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM	NUMBER OF SAMPLES
ARSENIC					
CADMIUM					
CHROMIUM					
LEAD					
NICKEL					

6.30 Person Responsible for Final Ash Disposal

Ash is disposed on site.
 Ash is disposed off site by the generator.
 Ash is disposed at another permitted disposal facility.

6.31 DISPOSAL FACILITY NAME


6.32 CONTACT PERSON

6.33 PHONE

6.34 PERMIT NO.
 MO- SW AP

6.35 ASH DISPOSAL METHOD

6.36 LEGAL
 _____ ¼, _____ ¼, Sec. _____, T _____, R _____, County _____



6.40 Ash Storage Ponds	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sludge incineration ash is not stored in earthen structures.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Sludge incineration ash is stored in earthen structures. Complete all parts on page two.
6.41	LEGAL _____ ¼, _____ ¼, Sec. _____, T _____, R _____, County _____
6.42	<input type="checkbox"/> Ash pond has received ash during the report period.
6.43	<input type="checkbox"/> Ash pond did not receive ash during the report period but has not been closed in accordance with a closure plan approved by the department.
6.44	_____ surface acres of ash pond. _____ feet of ash depth.
6.45	_____ cubic feet pond (design). _____ cubic feet ash (current).
6.46	_____ average percent solids of ash in pond.
6.47	DATE OF ASH POND CONSTRUCTION (APPROXIMATE IF NOT KNOWN).
6.48	GEOLOGIC REPORT FOR ASH POND Attach a copy of current (since July 1, 1993) geologic evaluation report by the Department of Natural Resources, Geological Survey & Resource Assessment Division (GSRAD).
6.49	ASH POND SEAL The ash pond must meet one of the following requirements: <input type="checkbox"/> Lagoon bottom and side seal meets current sealing requirements of 10^{-7} cm/sec per 10 CSR 20-8.200(6)(C) or 8.020(13)(A). <input type="checkbox"/> Lagoon seal meets requirements for a composite liner per Solid Waste Management Law and regulations under 10 CSR 80. If above seal requirement is not met, attach explanation.
6.50 Alternate Limits	
ARE ALTERNATE LIMITS OR EXCEPTIONS LISTED IN THE SPECIAL CONDITIONS SECTION OF THE WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY PERMIT OR SLUDGE GENERATOR PERMIT? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO If yes, attach explanation sheet.	

